



## Letter to the Editor

# Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia among incident hemodialysis patients anticoagulated with low molecular weight heparin: A single-center retrospective study

## Trombocitopenia inducida por heparina en pacientes en hemodiálisis anticoagulados con heparina de bajo peso molecular: un estudio retrospectivo de un centro

Dear Editor,

Heparin is the most commonly used anticoagulant to maintain filter and extracorporeal circuit patency during hemodialysis therapy. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), which results in thromboembolism, is a potentially critical complication of heparin therapy. Although the incidence of HIT varies depending on the type of heparin and patient population, no studies evaluated the incidence of HIT among incident hemodialysis patients anticoagulated with low molecular weight heparin (LMWH).

In this single-center, retrospective, observational study, we enrolled consecutive incident hemodialysis patients from January 2013 to December 2016. LMWH (Parnaparin Sodium) was the primary anticoagulant for hemodialysis and all temporary or chronic hemodialysis was started in the inpatient setting at our institute. Incident hemodialysis patients were defined as those who had started hemodialysis during hospitalization and who received regular hemodialysis after discharge. We excluded the following: (1) temporary hemodialysis; (2) administration of unfractionated heparin (UFH); and (3) initiating hemodialysis in the intensive care unit. To identify patients with HIT, we reviewed all patients who conducted an antigen immunoassay for HIT and/or dropped platelet count  $\geq 50\%$  from baseline. The antigen immunoassay was performed using a latex agglutination test (HemosIL HIT-Ab<sup>®</sup>, Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford, MA, USA)<sup>1</sup> at the discretion of attending doctors. All patients review were conducted by two experienced physicians (Y.D. and K.K.). Given the lack of functional assay results in most patients, functional assay was not required for the diagnosis of HIT in this study. Based on the Ethical Guidelines for Medical and Health Research Involving Human Subjects in Japan, the opt-out approach through the

hospital website was adapted instead of obtaining informed consent. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (approval number 737) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

A final total of 330 incident hemodialysis patients anticoagulated with LMWH were included in this study (Table 1). During the study period, antigen immunoassay was performed in 11 patients and another 9 patients with over 50% decrease in platelet count were suspected of HIT. Among those antigen immunoassay performed, HIT was ruled out based on negative results with optical density  $< 0.6$  (cutoff  $< 1.0$ ) in 7 patients. The remaining 4 patients with positive results are shown in Table 2. We ruled out HIT in Case 1 and 2 based on low 4Ts score and diagnosed Case 3 and 4 as definitive HIT. In Case 3, emergent hemodialysis was performed because of volume overload. After initiating hemodialysis, dialyzer and blood circuit clotting became apparent with each session accompanied by thrombocytopenia. Positive result of antigen immunoassay was confirmed on day 11. Case 4 had acute kidney injury due to cisplatin for gastric cancer and emergent hemodialysis was performed. On day 8, the dialysis circuit was completely coagulated just after the initiation of hemodialysis and antigen immunoassay was a positive result. Nine patients with over 50% decrease in platelet count but without antigen immunoassay measurement were not considered as HIT due to obvious other causes of thrombocytopenia such as infection, hematologic disease and drugs. Finally, the incidence of HIT in this setting was 0.6%.

Our result showed a mild decrease in HIT incidence with LMWH, compared to previous reports with UFH (3.9% and 1.0%, Table 3).<sup>2,3</sup> But small sample size, low HIT incidence and the difference in patient population and clinical practice pattern make a direct comparison difficult. Most interestingly,

**Table 1 – Characteristics of the study population according to vascular access type at hemodialysis initiation (n = 330).**

Variables	Total, n = 330	CVA, n = 88	Non-CVA, n = 242	P value (CVA vs non-CVA)
Male, n	224 (67.9)	60 (68.2)	164 (67.8)	0.94
Age, y	71 (61–78)	70.5 (58–79)	71 (61–78)	0.86
Primary disease				<0.01
Diabetic nephropathy (%)	127 (38.5)	28 (31.8)	99 (40.9)	
Nephrosclerosis (%)	61 (18.5)	13 (14.8)	48 (19.8)	
Chronic glomerulonephritis (%)	49 (14.8)	8 (9.1)	41 (16.9)	
Unspecified (%)	45 (13.6)	19 (21.6)	26 (10.7)	
Polycystic kidney disease (%)	15 (4.5)	5 (5.7)	10 (4.1)	
Others (%)	33 (10.0)	15 (17.0)	18 (7.4)	
Laboratory data				
BUN, mg/dL	95.2 (77.0–117.3)	99.5 (74.2–124.4)	93.2 (78.0–116.4)	0.27
Creatinine, mg/dL	9.1 (7.5–11.4)	9.5 (7.2–12.6)	9.0 (7.5–11.2)	0.23
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	4.6 (3.7–5.8)	4.6 (3.2–5.5)	4.6 (3.8–5.8)	0.23
Hemoglobin, g/dL	8.8 (7.9–9.6)	8.3 (7.6–9.7)	8.9 (8.1–9.6)	0.02
Albumin, g/dL	3.5 (3.0–3.8)	3.1 (2.8–3.8)	3.5 (3.1–3.8)	<0.01
CRP, mg/dL	0.3 (<0.2–1.7)	1.6 (0.3–7.0)	0.2 (0.1–0.8)	<0.01
Platelets, × 10 <sup>4</sup> /μL	16.5 (12.4–21.1)	16.6 (11.7–22.3)	16.0 (12.8–20.5)	0.63
Platelets fall ≥50%, (%)	11 (3.3)	7 (8.0)	4 (1.7)	<0.01
Antigen immunoassay measurement (%)	11 (3.3)	6 (6.8)	5 (2.0)	0.07
Definitive HIT	2 (0.6)	2 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	0.07

Data are presented as number (percentage) or median (25th, 75th percentile). Differences between patients with or without CVA were compared using Student's t test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test for continuous variables, and the Chi squared test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, as appropriate. CVA, Catheter vascular access; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimate glomerular filtration rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; HIT, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia.

**Table 2 – Clinical profiles of patients with positive antigen immunoassay.**

Case	Age/sex	Primary disease	VA at initial hemodialysis	OD value (U/mL)	4Ts score <sup>a</sup>	Dialyzer and/or blood circuit clotting	Platelet count (×10 <sup>4</sup> /μL) base/on the day evaluating antigen immunoassay	Thromboembolism
1	81/M	Nephrosclerosis	Catheter	1.0	2.1	(+)	14.3 → 17.1 (no decrease)	(–)
2	63/M	Nephrosclerosis	Catheter	1.3	3.2	(+)	16.5 → 28.0 (no decrease)	(–)
3	81/F	Unspecified	Catheter	2.9	5.7	(+)	24.7 → 7.5 (69.6% decrease)	(–)
4	66/M	Cisplatin nephrotoxicity	Catheter	≥5.0	4.5	(+)	28.9 → 16.2 (43.9% decrease)	(–)

M male, F female, VA vascular access, OD optical density.

<sup>a</sup> 4Ts score was evaluated by Y.D. and K.K. independently.

**Table 3 – Incidence of HIT as reported in incident hemodialysis patients.**

Study/Year/Country	n	Incidence	Heparin	Immunoassay	Functional assay
Yamamoto et al. <sup>3</sup> /1996/Japan	154	3.9%	UFH	ELISA	Platelet aggregation test
Mya et al. <sup>2</sup> /2016/Singapore	1348	1.0%	UFH	ELISA	NA
Doi et al./2020/Japan	330	0.6% (0% in patients without CVC, 2.3% in patients with CVC)	LMWH	latex agglutination assay	NA

CVA, catheter vascular access; UFH, unfractionated heparin; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; NA, not applicable.

no patients with non-catheter vascular access (CVA) had HIT, but 2.3% of patients with CVA developed HIT, resulting in a marginally significant difference ( $p=0.07$ ). A previous paper reported that all 13 patients with HIT used CVA in incident hemodialysis patients.<sup>2</sup> A recent case series from Spain also reported that all 5 hemodialysis patients of HIT

used CVA as vascular access.<sup>4</sup> Underlying mechanisms are uncertain, but it may be reasonable to consider that physical status requiring temporary central venous catheter (CVC) for emergent hemodialysis and/or complications of CVC play an important role in HIT development. The inflammation seems to facilitate HIT antibody formation and clinical

HIT.<sup>5</sup> Those requiring emergent hemodialysis tend to have extracellular fluid overload, which is a strong association between malnutrition–inflammation complex syndrome.<sup>6</sup> In line with this context, our data showed lower serum albumin and higher C-reactive protein in the CVA group. In addition, catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI) is a frequent, fatal complication related to CVA,<sup>7</sup> and we have recently reported that CRBSI triggered the development of HIT.<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, CVC itself reported to be a contributor to increased inflammation independently of infection.<sup>9</sup>

In conclusion, the incidence of HIT among incident hemodialysis patients anticoagulated with LMWH was 0.6%. CVA at the initiation of hemodialysis therapy might be a risk for the development of HIT. Given that the incidence of HIT is very low, large prospective observational studies are warranted to validate these findings.

### Authors' contributions

Study concept and design by Doi Y and Ishihara T; writing by Doi Y, and Sugawara A; data collection by Doi Y, and Sugioka S; analysis and interpretation of data by Doi Y, Inoue Y, Arisato T, Koga K, and Nishioka K; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content by Sugawara A.

### Funding

None.

### Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Takeda T for secretarial assistance.

### REFERENCES

1. Althaus K, Hron G, Strobel U, Abbate R, Rogolino A, Davidson S, et al. Evaluation of automated immunoassays in the diagnosis of heparin induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Res.* 2013;131:e85–90.

2. Mya HT, Tay HM, Gan SW, Surendran S, Yeang SH, Lim CC, et al. Screening frequency, incidence and pattern of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia syndrome at a large tertiary institution. *Int J Hematol.* 2016;104:92–8.
3. Yamamoto S, Koide M, Matsuo M, Suzuki S, Ohtaka M, Saika S, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1996;28:82–5.
4. Gameiro J, Jorge S, Lopes JA. Haemodialysis-related-heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: case series and literature review. *Nefrologia.* 2018;38:551–7.
5. Zheng Y, Wang AW, Yu M, Padmanabhan A, Tourdot BE, Newman DK, et al. B-cell tolerance regulates production of antibodies causing heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood.* 2014;123:931–4.
6. Hung SC, Kuo KL, Peng CH, Wu CH, Lien YC, Wang YC, et al. Volume overload correlates with cardiovascular risk factors in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2014;85:703–9.
7. Oliver MJ, Rothwell DM, Fung K, Hux JE, Lok CE. Late creation of vascular access for hemodialysis and increased risk of sepsis. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2004;15:1936–42.
8. Sugioka S, Doi Y, Ishihara T, Arisato T, Osaki K, Koga K, et al. A case of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) triggered by methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) bacteremia. *Renal Replace Therapy.* 2016;2:62.
9. Goldstein SL, Ikizler TA, Zappitelli M, Silverstein DM, Ayus JC. Non-infected hemodialysis catheters are associated with increased inflammation compared to arteriovenous fistulas. *Kidney Int.* 2009;76:1063–9.

Yohei Doi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kenichi Koga<sup>a</sup>, Sayaka Sugioka<sup>a</sup>, Yui Inoue<sup>a</sup>, Tetsuya Arisato<sup>a</sup>, Keisuke Nishioka<sup>a</sup>, Takeshi Ishihara<sup>b</sup>, Akira Sugawara<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Nephrology, Osaka Red Cross Hospital, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Clinical Engineering, Osaka Red Cross Hospital, Japan

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ydoi@kid.med.osaka-u.ac.jp](mailto:ydoi@kid.med.osaka-u.ac.jp) (Y. Doi).

0211-6995/© 2020 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2020.05.012>