

Original article

A comparison of cyclophosphamide versus tacrolimus in terms of treatment effect for idiopathic membranous nephropathy: A meta-analysis

Yan-Chun Li, Jing Huang, Xin Li, Su-Mei Zhao*

Department of Nephrology, Beijing Chao-Yang Hospital, Beijing, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 May 2018

Accepted 7 October 2018

Available online 10 February 2019

Keywords:

Tacrolimus

Cyclophosphamide

Idiopathic membranous

nephropathy

Meta-analysis

ABSTRACT

Background and aims: Idiopathic membranous nephropathy (IMN), which is considered one of the most common causes of nephrotic syndrome in adult patients, is frequently managed with immunosuppressive agents. Both tacrolimus (TAC) and cyclophosphamide (CTX) are recommended as immunosuppressive agents in the management of IMN. However, profound effects and moderate evidence on the two drugs remains poorly defined at this period. The meta-analysis aims to summarize current best evidence on the efficacy as well as safety of TAC and CTX among IMN patients.

Methods: We searched the publications on comparison of the safety and efficacy of TAC versus CTX for IMN up to April 2018. After rigorous reviewing on the quality, the data was extracted from eligible trials. All trials analyzed the summary hazard ratios (HRs) of the endpoints of interest.

Results: Moderate-strong evidence indicated that tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide had comparable effects on remission rate (either CR or PR) ($p > 0.05$). No significant differences were found in the following parameters: the rates of diarrhea, glucose intolerance (or diabetes mellitus), gastrointestinal syndrome, as well as hypertension because of adverse effects ($p > 0.05$). However, patients with TAC therapy had a higher chance to develop urinary tract infection ($p = 0.010$) and tremor ($p = 0.006$). Additionally, remarkably higher risk existed in leukopenia among the CTX group as compared with the TAC group ($p = 0.03$).

Conclusion: This meta-analysis presents a comprehensive assessment of current available evidence for the therapy of IMN, indicating a comparable remission rate with both TAC and CTX, while the long-term effects are needed for further verification. Nevertheless, different adverse effect profiles of two groups need careful consideration. Remarkably higher rates of urinary tract infection and tremor were observed among TAC group, while higher risk of leukopenia was found among CTX group. Further research into the treatment efficacy of both drugs is warranted to confirm the present conclusions.

© 2019 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: zhaosumei1998@126.com (S.-M. Zhao).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2018.10.008>

0211-6995/© 2019 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Comparación de ciclofosfamida con tacrolimus por lo que respecta al efecto del tratamiento en la nefropatía membranosa idiopática: un metaanálisis

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

Tacrolimus
Ciclofosfamida
Nefropatía membranosa
idiopática
Metaanálisis

Antecedentes y objetivos: La nefropatía membranosa idiopática (NMI), que se considera una de las causas más comunes del síndrome nefrótico en pacientes adultos, se trata con frecuencia con inmunodepresores. Tanto el tacrolimus (TAC) como la ciclofosfamida (CTX) se recomiendan como inmunosupresores en el tratamiento de la NMI. Sin embargo, los efectos profundos y la evidencia moderada de los 2 fármacos continúan estando mal definidas en este período. El metaanálisis pretende resumir la mejor evidencia actual sobre la eficacia y la seguridad del TAC y la CTX entre los pacientes con NMI.

Métodos: Se realizaron búsquedas de publicaciones sobre comparación de seguridad y eficacia del TAC frente a la CTX para la NMI hasta abril de 2018. Después de una revisión rigurosa de la calidad, los datos se extrajeron de los ensayos idóneos. Todos los ensayos analizaron los cocientes de riesgo instantáneos resumidos de los criterios de valoración de interés.

Resultados: La evidencia moderada-sólida indicó que el TAC y la CTX tuvieron efectos comparables en la tasa de remisión (remisión completa o remisión parcial) ($p > 0,05$). No se encontraron diferencias importantes en los siguientes parámetros: tasas de diarrea, intolerancia a la glucosa (o diabetes mellitus), síndrome gastrointestinal, así como hipertensión por efectos adversos ($p > 0,05$). Sin embargo, los pacientes con TAC como tratamiento tuvieron mayor probabilidad de desarrollar infección urinaria ($p = 0,010$) y temblor ($p = 0,006$). Además, existía un riesgo notablemente mayor de leucopenia entre el grupo que tomaba CTX que en el grupo que tomaba TAC ($p = 0,03$).

Conclusión: Este metaanálisis presenta una evaluación exhaustiva de la evidencia disponible actualmente sobre el tratamiento de la NMI, que indica una tasa de remisión comparable con TAC y CTX, mientras que los efectos a largo plazo son necesarios para una comprobación adicional. Sin embargo, el perfil de diferentes efectos adversos de 2 grupos debe considerarse cuidadosamente. Se observaron tasas notablemente más altas de infección urinaria y temblor entre el grupo que tomaba TAC, mientras que se encontró mayor riesgo de leucopenia entre el grupo que tomaba CTX. Es necesaria investigación adicional sobre la eficacia del tratamiento de ambos fármacos para confirmar las conclusiones actuales.

© 2019 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Idiopathic membranous nephropathy (IMN), a slowly progressive disease of the kidney, is currently regarded as one of the most common causes of adult-onset nephrotic syndrome.¹ The clinical course of IMN is multivariate and hard for clinical practice to predict. Around one-third of IMN patients demonstrates spontaneous complete remission (CR),² while one-third of patients harboring long-term preservation of renal function are prone to persistent proteinuria. However, the remaining one-third of patients eventually develop and progress to end-stage kidney disease (ESRD) within five to fifteen years.³

Debates exist regarding the treatment of IMN due to various potential types with the progression of IMN. Steroids plus immunosuppressive therapy (IST) has been recommended according to Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines for patients who are at an increased risk for developing ESKD. The combination of corticosteroids with ISTs, including cyclophosphamide (CTX), chlorambucil,⁴

cyclosporine (CSA),⁵ tacrolimus (TAC)⁶ and rituximab,⁷ has been thought to be the current available treatment protocols. However, the profound influence of different immunosuppressive agents remains equivocal according to previous randomized controlled trials for the ideal therapy options with higher response and lower adverse effects for IMN.

The activation of immune response has been generally proven to be associated with the inhibition of calcineurin inhibitors through the down-regulation of interleukin-2 transcription, which is also a pivotal immunological process for T cell activation in IMN.⁸ TAC is one of the valid alternative therapy method toward treating IMN as a new type of calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) according to a placebo-controlled randomized trial in some reports.⁶ Despite the favorable effects on the IMN patients, TAC has no association with remarkable increase of glucose intolerance as well as infections.

Current treatment protocols with the use of oral corticosteroids in combination with cyclophosphamide (CYC) have demonstrated beneficial efficacy in patients with nephrotic syndrome in several prospective trials.^{4,9,10} However, the

increased risk of adverse events should not be ignored due to its influence on the renal function, which limits its clinical use.

Furthermore, several studies were conducted to investigate the overall therapy effects as well as the safety of the combination of TAC-corticosteroid with cyclophosphamide (CTX)-steroid,^{11–14} but showing conflicting results. There has been much debate concerning the assessment of tacrolimus with cyclophosphamide, both combined with corticosteroids for IMN treatment. Here, the present meta-analysis was conducted for the comparison of the overall efficacy and drug safety of tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide in IMN patients.

Methods and materials

Search strategy

Two investigators independently searched electronic databases: PubMed, Embase, Cochrane library up to April 2018. The process was established to find all articles with the keywords: “Idiopathic membranous nephropathy” AND “cyclophosphamide”, AND “tacrolimus”. Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms that were associated with this meta-analysis were used. The reference lists of all articles that dealt with the topic of interest were also hand-searched to check for additional relevant publications.

Eligibility criteria

Studies that met the following criteria should be included in the meta-analysis: (1) the studies were designed as randomized controlled trials; (2) the studies were designed to compare TAC-corticosteroid with a CTX-steroid combination therapy; (3) articles involving IMN patients; (4) the end-point of interests were efficacy (complete or partial remission) and drug-related toxicity (incidence of severe adverse effects (SAEs)), and HRs with corresponding 95% CIs were provided; if duplicated or overlapped data were found in multiple studies, the one with complete data were included.

Quality assessment

The quality of the retrieved studies was assessed by two reviewers separately. The risk of bias items (ROBI) that have been recommended by The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions were utilized.

Data extraction

Two authors independently extracted the relevant data from each trial. Disagreement was settled by discussion. The main categories based on the following parameters from the eligible studies: family name of first author, year of publication, study period, follow-up period, main outcomes. We extracted the corresponding hazard ratios (HRs) and risk ratios (RRs) to describe the outcomes of interest data, respectively, with its 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Statistical analysis

In the pooled analysis, the endpoints of interest included remission rate and SAE data, and lnHRs and the their lnLLs and lnULs were directly extracted as data points with reported HRs and corresponding 95% CIs. Based on heterogeneity of the current study, we performed a sensitivity analysis to further assess the overall results. The heterogeneity across studies was examined through the I^2 statistic,¹⁵ describing as followed respectively: low, 25–50%; moderate, 50–75%; or high, >75%.¹⁶ We applied the fixed-effect models to calculate the total HRs when low heterogeneity showed in studies. In other cases, we used the random effects model. All analysis was conducted through the use of Review Manager version 5.3 software (Revman; The Cochrane collaboration Oxford, United Kingdom). Studies with a p value less than 0.05 was thought to have statistical significance. Forest plots showed the findings of our meta-analysis. To assess the publication bias, the Begg test and the Egger test were conducted.

Results

Literature search process and study characteristics

Totally, 318 publications were identified originally for evaluation. Based on the criteria described in the methods, 11 publications were evaluated in more detail, but some did not provide enough detail of outcomes of two approaches. Therefore, a final total of 6 RCTs^{11–13,17–19} met the criterion, and the 2 papers of Ramachandran are the same study with different follow-up time at 12 and 24 months. The search process is described in Fig. 1.

All included studies in this study were based on evidence with moderate to high quality. Table 1 describes the primary characteristics of the eligible studies in more detail.

Clinical and methodological heterogeneity

Pooled analysis of overall remission (OR) rates comparing tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide groups

Pooled data of the OR from five studies showed that no significant differences were found in the IMN patients in terms of favoring tacrolimus compared with the cyclophosphamide group (OR = 1.15; 95% CI, 0.43–3.07; $p = 0.78$) (Fig. 2).

Pooled analysis of complete remission (CR) rates comparing tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide groups

We applied the random effects model to pool the ischemic stroke recurrent data, since the heterogeneity across the five studies was significant. The pooling CR data did not show advantage in the tacrolimus or cyclophosphamide groups (OR = 1.34, 95% CI = 0.38–4.66, $p = 0.65$) (Fig. 3).

Pooled analysis of partial remission (PR) rates comparing tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide groups

For the partial remission rate, no significant differences were observed comparing tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide groups were observed (OR = 0.84, 95% CI = 0.38–1.89, $p = 0.68$) (Fig. 4).




Fig. 1 – PRISMA flow chart of selection process to identify eligible studies for pooling.

Table 1 – The primary characteristics of the eligible studies in more detail.

Study, year	Study period	Follow-up period	Total patients	
			TAC	CTX
Ramachandran, 2017	2011.9.21–2013.12.2	24 months	35	35
Ramachandran, 2016	2011.9–2013.12	12 months	35	35
Peng, 2016	2009.1–2013.5	9 months	30	30
He, 2013	2008.1–2010.2	12 months	28	28
Xu, 2013	2007.6–2012.10	18 months	48	52
Chen, 2010	2004.7–2008.8	12 months	39	34

TAC: tacrolimus; CTX: cyclophosphamide.




Fig. 2 – Pooled analysis of overall remission (OR) rates in comparison of TAC and CTX groups.




Fig. 3 – Pooled analysis of complete remission (CR) rates in comparison of TAC and CTX groups.




Fig. 4 – Pooled analysis of partial remission (PR) rates in comparison of CTX and TAC groups.

Pooled analysis of AEs comparing tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide groups

Systematic evaluations of AEs data analysis are shown in Table 2. The most common treatment-related adverse events are diarrhea (OR=0.84, 95% CI=0.25–2.85, $p=0.79$), glucose intolerance (or diabetes mellitus) (OR=1.91, 95% CI=0.55–6.64, $p=0.31$), gastrointestinal syndrome (OR=0.73, 95% CI=0.31–1.73, $p=0.48$), and hypertension (OR=2.35, 95% CI=0.75–7.36, $p=0.14$), and there was no statistical significant difference between the two groups. The adverse events differed in sensitivity analysis in developing urinary tract infection (OR=0.35; 95% CI, 0.15–0.77; $p=0.010$) and tremor (OR=10.65; 95% CI, 1.95–58.25; $p=0.006$) with patients treated with tacrolimus. Increased risk of leukopenia was identified among cyclophosphamide group with significance as compared with the tacrolimus group (OR=0.14; 95% CI, 0.03–0.83; $p=0.03$).

Discussion

IMN, a slowly progressive disease of the kidney, is currently regarded as one of the most common causes of nephrotic syndrome (NS) in adult patients.²⁰ Through the complement-mediated injury of the podocyte and its slit pore membrane, the glomerular capillary permeability could be altered by in situ formation of subepithelial immune deposits,²¹ and the

occurrence as well as the progression of IMN is highly associated with abnormal activation of the immune system.

Immunosuppressive agents have been expected to be a valid alternative treatment for IMN patients, including calcineurin inhibitors (such as tacrolimus) and alkylating agents (cyclophosphamide or chlorambucil).²² The following factors, such as the severity of renal dysfunction, the level of persistent proteinuria, as well as the development in renal function over time are crucial for clinical practice to choose ideal initial therapy toward treating IMN.²³

Researchers have evaluated the therapeutic role of immunosuppressive agents for patients with IMN in an effort to optimize the balance between efficacy and adverse event profiles. Corticosteroids in combination with CTX or TAC were the two drugs that are widely accepted as initial therapies for patients with nephrotic IMN recommend by KDIGO.²⁴

TAC has demonstrated more beneficial effects of immunosuppression with acceptable adverse effects for patients with IMN,⁶ whereas according to other investigators, the CTX-steroid combination appeared to be safer and more effective.²⁵ There is no consistent or supportive data on the comparison of tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide.

The current concise meta-analysis details the remission, tolerability and side effects of the two drugs, which are important factors for IMN treatment. Our results showed similar remission rates using TAC as the experimental group and CTX as the control group based regimens toward the treatment of IMN but with a different side-effect profile.

There were several reasons that may contribute to the present results. Firstly, the short-term follow-up of the included trials would generate more doubtful outcomes. As suggested by Xu' study,¹¹ the combination of tacrolimus and corticosteroids was a valid alternative option with tolerable side effects after 18 months' observation for patients with persistent nephrotic proteinuria. In Ramachandran' study,¹⁸ taking the final clinical response into account, the TAC based regimen needs long-term follow-up, since more than half of

Table 2 – Systematic evaluations of AEs.

Study, year	Diarrhea		Glucose intolerance/ diabetes mellitus		Gastrointestinal syndrome		Hypertension		Urinary tract infection		Tremor		Leukopenia						
	TAC	CTX	TAC	CTX	TAC	CTX	TAC	CTX	TAC	CTX	TAC	CTX	TAC	CTX					
Ramachandran, 2017	35	5	35	5	35	3	35	2	35	2	35	4	35	0	35	4	35		
Peng, 2016	30	1	30	0	30	4	30	2	30	3	30	6	30	0	30	0	30	1	30
He, 2013	48	1	48	12	48	1	48	2	48	1	48	4	48	15	48	28	48	3	48
Xu, 2013	48	1	48	12	48	1	48	2	48	1	48	4	48	15	48	28	48	3	48
Chen, 2010	48	1	48	12	48	1	48	2	48	1	48	4	48	15	48	28	48	3	48
	2	48	1	52	7	48	12	39	0	34	5	39	0	34	3	39	0	34	34

TAC: tacrolimus; CTX: cyclophosphamide.

the patients relapse after stopping calcineurin inhibitors. In addition, no superior effects could be found in tacrolimus as compared with CTX after 6 months.¹³ With respect to the clinical response, beneficial effect could be observed for long-term prognosis in CR,²⁶ and the risk of renal failure could be reduced through achieving PR independently.²⁷ Therefore, more detailed trails with longer follow-ups are warranted to further confirm the above results.

Secondly, the side effects and drug toxicity might be affected by different dosing parameters of drugs, such as longer duration but low dose of TAC to maintain remission from nephrotic syndrome.²⁸ The nephrotoxicity of TAC has received much attention from investigators. In Peng' study,¹⁹ the dosage of TAC was 0.05 mg/kg/d, and the trough concentration of TAC was 4–8 ng/mL, which was lower than that reported in kidney transplant recipients and patients with primary nephrotic syndrome. While, there are limited data about the treatment effects of low-dose TAC for nephrotic syndrome, and the optimal dosage regimen of TAC remains unclear.¹² Furthermore, the treatment effects of the two drugs could be partially explained by its influence of immunosuppression for IMN. Both autoantibodies have been proposed as biomarkers of MN autoimmune activity.^{29–31} High anti-PLA2R1 antibody levels have recently been reported as a reliable prognostic factor,^{32–35} which is likely to modify clinical response for treatment of IMN in the future. In addition, risk–benefit assessment for individual patients should be carried out in the management of IMN in order to gain best therapy effects.³⁶ Different immunosuppressive therapy plays pivotal role toward treating high risk individuals, therefore ideal therapy options are always needed in order to increase the remission rate for patients. Lots of expectations have been laid upon the new immune-suppressive therapies that might be associated with improved outcomes in reducing the probable toxicity while maintaining their efficacy. The further exploration and research of the potential role of PLA2 receptor antibodies might gain insight into better targeted therapies.³⁷

Admittedly, there were a few limitations in the current study that should not be ignored. First, the imbalance existed between the two groups due to different quality and the different definition of CR and PR of the included studies, and findings of the current study might be affected by the clinical heterogeneity among trials. Second, the current study on long-term remission rate for the efficacy of the drugs provided insufficient data. Thirdly, rate of relapses is another important evidence to help to inform decision-making when choosing the standard treatment option for IMN patients. The therapeutic attempts are justifiable if the lower rate of relapses can be achieved. While, the current study on the rate of relapses provided insufficient data. Thus, there was no strong statistical evidence to analyzed.

Conclusion

In conclusion, aggregated results indicated that tacrolimus and cyclophosphamide had comparable effects on remission rate as well as tolerable adverse events in the treatment of IMN patients. However, more detailed and high-quality RCTs

with longer follow-ups are warranted in order to provide direct and strong evidence for the comparison of TAC and CTX.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Cattran DC. Membranous nephropathy: quo vadis? *Kidney Int.* 2002;61:349–50.
- Schieppati A, Mosconi L, Perna A, Mecca G, Bertani T, Garattini S, et al. Prognosis of patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329:85–9.
- Honkanen E, Tornroth T, Gronhagen-Riska C. Natural history, clinical course and morphological evolution of membranous nephropathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 1992;7 Suppl. 1:35–41.
- Ponticelli C, Altieri P, Scolari F, Passerini P, Roccatello D, Cesana B, et al. A randomized study comparing methylprednisolone plus chlorambucil versus methylprednisolone plus cyclophosphamide in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 1998;9:444–50.
- Cattran DC, Greenwood C, Ritchie S, Bernstein K, Churchill DN, Clark WF, et al. A controlled trial of cyclosporine in patients with progressive membranous nephropathy. Canadian Glomerulonephritis Study Group. *Kidney Int.* 1995;47:1130–5.
- Praga M, Barrio V, Juarez GF, Luno J, Grupo Espanol de Estudio de la Nefropatia M. Tacrolimus monotherapy in membranous nephropathy: a randomized controlled trial. *Kidney Int.* 2007;71:924–30.
- Cravedi P, Remuzzi G, Ruggenenti P. Rituximab in primary membranous nephropathy: first-line therapy, why not? *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2014;128:261–9.
- Westhoff TH, van der Giet M. Tacrolimus in the treatment of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs.* 2007;16:1099–110.
- Gupta RK, Sud K, Saha TT, Ganguli A, Joshi K, Jha V, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of steroids and cyclophosphamide in adults with nephrotic syndrome caused by idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;18:1899–904.
- Faurschou M, Sorensen IJ, Mellekjær L, Loft AG, Thomsen BS, Tvede N, et al. Malignancies in Wegener's granulomatosis: incidence and relation to cyclophosphamide therapy in a cohort of 293 patients. *J Rheumatol.* 2008;35:100–5.
- Xu J, Zhang W, Xu Y, Shen P, Ren H, Wang W, et al. Tacrolimus combined with corticosteroids in idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a randomized, prospective, controlled trial. *Contrib Nephrol.* 2013;181:152–62.
- He L, Peng Y, Liu H, Liu Y, Yuan S, Liu F, et al. Treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy with combination of low-dose tacrolimus and corticosteroids. *J Nephrol.* 2013;26:564–71.
- Chen M, Wang HY, Li H, Li XW, Li XY, Chen JH, et al. Tacrolimus combined with corticosteroids in treatment of nephrotic idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Am J Med Sci.* 2010;339:233–8.
- Li X, Lv R, He Q, Li H, Du X, Lin W. Early initiation of tacrolimus or cyclophosphamide therapy for idiopathic membranous nephropathy with severe proteinuria. *J Nephrol.* 2008;21:584–91.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med.* 2002;21:1539–58.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ.* 2003;327:557–60.
- Ramachandran R, Yadav AK, Kumar V, Venkata STP, Nada R, Ghosh R, et al. Two-year follow-up study of membranous nephropathy treated with tacrolimus and corticosteroids versus cyclical corticosteroids and cyclophosphamide. *Kidney Int Rep.* 2017;2:610–6.
- Ramachandran R, Hn HK, Kumar V, Nada R, Yadav AK, Goyal A, et al. Tacrolimus combined with corticosteroids versus Modified Ponticelli regimen in treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy: randomized control trial. *Nephrology (Carlton).* 2016;21:139–46.
- Peng L, Wei SY, Li LT, He YX, Li B. Comparison of different therapies in high-risk patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Formos Med Assoc.* 2016;115:11–8.
- Mathieson PW, Turner AN, Maidment CG, Evans DJ, Rees AJ. Prednisolone and chlorambucil treatment in idiopathic membranous nephropathy with deteriorating renal function. *Lancet.* 1988;2:869–72.
- Ronco P, Debiec H. Pathogenesis of membranous nephropathy: recent advances and future challenges. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2012;8:203–13.
- Pozdzik A, Brocheriou I, David C, Touzani F, Goujon JM, Wissing KM. Membranous nephropathy and anti-podocytes antibodies: implications for the diagnostic workup and disease management. *Biomed Res Int.* 2018;2018:6281054.
- Segal PE, Choi MJ. Recent advances and prognosis in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2012;19:114–9.
- Podracka L, Matousovic K. [Practice guideline and trends for immunosuppressive treatment of glomerulonephritides according to KDIGO (Clinical Practice Guideline for Glomerulonephritis)]. *Vnitr Lek.* 2013;59:113–8.
- Yuan J, Fang W, Zhang W, Ni Z, Qian J. Treatment of nephrotic idiopathic membranous nephropathy with monthly i.v. pulse cyclophosphamide and oral steroids: a single centre's retrospective study. *Nephrology (Carlton).* 2011;16:440–5.
- Laluck BJ Jr, Cattran DC. Prognosis after a complete remission in adult patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1999;33:1026–32.
- Troyanov S, Wall CA, Miller JA, Scholey JW, Cattran DC, Toronto Glomerulonephritis Registry G. Idiopathic membranous nephropathy: definition and relevance of a partial remission. *Kidney Int.* 2004;66:1199–205.
- Sinha MD, MacLeod R, Rigby E, Clark AG. Treatment of severe steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome (SDNS) in children with tacrolimus. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2006;21:1848–54.
- Cattran DC, Brenchley PE. Membranous nephropathy: integrating basic science into improved clinical management. *Kidney Int.* 2017;91:566–74.
- Ronco P, Debiec H. Pathophysiological advances in membranous nephropathy: time for a shift in patient's care. *Lancet.* 2015;385:1983–92.
- Francis JM, Beck LH Jr, Salant DJ. Membranous nephropathy: a journey from bench to bedside. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2016;68:138–47.
- Hofstra JM, Debiec H, Short CD, Pelle T, Kleta R, Mathieson PW, et al. Antiphospholipase A2 receptor antibody titer and subclass in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2012;23:1735–43.
- Hofstra JM, Wetzels JF. Phospholipase A2 receptor antibodies in membranous nephropathy: unresolved issues. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2014;25:1137–9.
- Kanigicherla D, Gummadova J, McKenzie EA, Roberts SA, Harris S, Nikam M, et al. Anti-PLA2R antibodies measured by ELISA predict long-term outcome in a prevalent population of

- patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *Kidney Int.* 2013;83:940–8.
35. Beck L, Bomback AS, Choi MJ, Holzman LB, Langford C, Mariani LH, et al. KDOQI US commentary on the 2012 KDIGO clinical practice guideline for glomerulonephritis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2013;62:403–41.
36. Hofstra JM, Branten AJ, Wirtz JJ, Noordzij TC, du Buf-Vereijken PW, Wetzels JF. Early versus late start of immunosuppressive therapy in idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a randomized controlled trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2010;25:129–36.
37. Song R, Ying W, Li X, Tadashi T, Meg J, Guisen L, et al. Comparative effectiveness and tolerance of immunosuppressive treatments for idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a network meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE.* 2017;12:e0184398.