

2. Asif A, Cheria G, Merrill D, Cipleu CD, Takwajol JB, Epstein DL, Lenz O. Venous mapping using venography and the risk of radiocontrast-induced nephropathy. *Semin Dial* 2005; 18: 239-242.
3. Mehran R, Nikolky E. Contrast induced nephropathy: definition, epidemiology, and patients and risk. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2006; 100: S11-5.
4. Toprak O, Cirit M, Yesil M, Bayata S, Tanrisev M, Varol U, Ersoy R, Esi E. Impact of diabetic and prediabetic state on development of contrast induced nephropathy in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007; 22: 819-26.
5. Asif A, Preston RA, Roth D. Radiocontrast induced nephropathy. *Am J Ther* 2003; 10: 137-147.
6. Parfrey PS, Griffiths SM, Barret BJ, Paul MD, Genge M, Withers J, Farid N, McManamon PJ. Contrast material induced renal failure in patients with diabetes mellitus, renal insufficiency, or both. A prospective controlled study. *N Engl J Med* 1989; 320: 143-9.
7. Toprak O, Cirit M, Esi E, Postaci N, Yesil M, Bayata S. Hiperuricemia as a risk factor for contrast induced nephropathy in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2006; 67: 227-235.
8. Erley CM. Does hydration prevent radiocontrast induced renal failure? *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1999; 14: 1064-6.
9. Recio-Mayoral A, Chaparro M, Prado B, Cozar R, Méndez I, Banarjee D, Kaski Jc, Cubero J, Cruz JM. The reno-protective effect of hydration with sodium bicarbonate plus N-acetylcysteine in patients undergoing emergency percutaneous coronary intervention: the RENNO Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 49: 1283-8.
10. Chong E, Zed PJ. N-acetylcysteine for radiocontrast induced nephropathy: Potential role in the emergency department? *CJEM* 2004; 6: 253-8.

J. J. Castellanos Monedero¹, A. Carreño Parrilla², M. Arambarri Segura² and G. Caparrós Tortosa²
¹Servicio de Medicina Interna. Hospital La Mancha Centro. Alcázar de San Juan. ²Servicio de Nefrología. Hospital General de Ciudad Real.
Correspondence: Jesus Javier Castellanos Monedero. castellanosvela@hotmail.com. Hospital General de Ciudad Real.

Asepsis and automated peritoneal dialysis

Nefrología 2008; 28 (3) 358

To the editor: Asepsis is essential in automated peritoneal dialysis to prevent infectious complications.

Patients undergoing automated peritoneal dialysis (APD) usually have a lower risk of peritonitis as compared to

those subject to CAPD.^{1,4} However, drainage fluid is stored in an open container at room temperature. This container is washed daily with diluted sodium hypochlorite (bleach).

OBJECTIVES

To determine the contamination status of the drainage fluid collected in the container.

To assess whether this fluid storage method involves an infection risk for patients.

To ascertain whether the usual method for disinfecting containers is effective.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples were taken from patients on APD at our unit for Gram staining and microbiological cultures in standard and blood culture media. A manually drained sample was collected in all cases to be used as control.

In addition, serial samples were taken from a patient subgroup to see the type of flora and whether this was sensitive to routine disinfection.

The drainage container was disinfected with diluted bleach.

RESULTS

Nine cases of patients on APD, whose containers were cleaned daily, were studied.

The fluid in the container was contaminated by a germ in 5 cases (55.5%), by 2 germs in 2 cases (22.2%), and by more than 2 germs in another 2 cases (22.2%).

Ten different germs were identified out of the total 15 germs found. Of these, 60% were Gram-negative and 40% Gram-positive organisms.

The container fluid had Gram-negative germs in 5 cases, Gram-positive germs in 3 cases, and both types of germs in one case.

Most common germs included *Serratia marcescens*, *Pseudomonas putida*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, all of them identified twice, while all other organisms only occurred once.

Enterobacteriaceae accounted for more than 40% of germs, while the remaining

organisms were mainly environmental germs proliferating at room temperature.

Serial samples were taken in 4 cases. When samples were taken after 24 hours, recurrence of some germ was seen in 50% of cases.

The control culture was negative in all cases.

CONCLUSIONS

All fluids in the containers were contaminated.

Forty percent of contaminants were enterobacteriaceae.

It is questionable that bleach removes contaminating germs.

Neither the container nor contaminated drainage fluid caused infection in patients.

The container is a safe but not completely aseptic model.

1. Oo TN, Roberts TL, Collins AJ. A comparison of peritonitis rates from the United States Renal Data System Database: CAPD versus continuous cycling peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005; 45 (2): 372-80.
2. Huang JW, Hung KY, Yen CJ, Yen CJ, Wu KD, Tsai TJ. Comparison of infectious complications in peritoneal dialysis patients using either a twin-bag system or automated peritoneal dialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2001; 16 (3): 604-7.
3. Rodríguez-Carmona A, Pérez Fontán M, García Falcón T, Fernández Rivera C, Valdés F. A comparative analysis on the incidence of peritonitis and exit-site infection in CAPD and automated peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int* 1999; 19 (3): 253-8.
4. Korbet SM, Vonesh EF, Firanek CA. Peritonitis in an urban peritoneal dialysis program: An analysis of infecting pathogens. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1995; 26 (1): 47-53.

A. Sastre López, M.^a R. Bernabéu Lafuente and J. M. Gascó Company
Servicio de Nefrología. Hospital Son Llàtzer. Palma de Mallorca

Correspondence: Aránzazu Sastre López. aranchasastre@hotmail.com. Hospital Huca. Avda. Fernández Ladreda, 30. 24005 León.

Beçet's disease in a patient on haemodialysis

Nefrología 2008; 28 (3) 358-359

To the editor: Behçet's disease is a rare inflammatory disorder of an