



Original article

Kidney transplantation epidemiology in Brazil

Reginaldo Passoni dos Santos^{a,*}, Ariana Rodrigues da Silva Carvalho^{a,b},
Luis Alberto Batista Peres^{a,c}

^a Postgraduate Program in Biosciences and Health, State University of Western Paraná, Cascavel, PR, Brazil

^b Nursing Department, State University of Western Paraná, Cascavel, PR, Brazil

^c Medicine Department, State University of Western Paraná, Cascavel, PR, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 January 2018

Accepted 3 July 2018

Available online 10 February 2019

Keywords:

Kidney transplantation

Epidemiology

Chronic renal insufficiency

Tissue and organ procurement

Organ transplantation

ABSTRACT

Background: In 2015, were celebrated in Brazil 50 years of kidney transplants, whose activities have been registered since 1995 by the Brazilian Association of Organ Transplantation (ABTO).

Purpose: To evaluate the quantitative evolution of renal transplants that occurred in Brazil during the period from 1995 to 2015 and to classify the country's position in the international scenario.

Methods: Ecological and retrospective study, based on data records published by ABTO and the International Registry in Organ Donation and Transplantation (IRODaT).

Results: During the period evaluated, there were 75,479 kidney transplants in Brazil, 43,771 (58%) of deceased donors. The southeastern region had the highest absolute number ($n=44,746$; 59.3%) and, the north, the lowest ($n=1159$; 1.6%). The cumulative percentage variation of transplants was 121%, and the annual variation was negative on six occasions (1996, 1997, 2002, 2005, 2006, 2015). The number of effective transplantation teams had a weak relation with the number of procedures performed ($r=0.45$, $p: 0.03$). Brazil was the second country with the highest absolute number of transplants in the period of analysis, considering a world ranking with 30 nations.

Conclusion: The number of renal transplants in Brazil increased significantly over the years. However, the efforts of those involved in the different phases of the donation-transplant process should be continuous.

© 2018 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: regi-pas@hotmail.com (R.P. Santos).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2018.07.005>

0211-6995/© 2018 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Epidemiología del trasplante renal en Brasil

R E S U M E N

Palabras clave:

Trasplante de riñón
Epidemiología
Insuficiencia renal crónica
Obtención de tejidos y órganos
Trasplante de órganos

Antecedentes: En 2015, se conmemoraron 50 años de realización de trasplantes renales en Brasil, cuyas actividades son registradas desde 1995 por la Asociación Brasileña de Trasplante de Órganos (ABTO).

Objetivos: Evaluar la evolución cuantitativa de los trasplantes renales ocurridos en Brasil durante el período 1995 a 2015 y clasificar la posición del país en el escenario internacional.

Métodos: Estudio ecológico y retrospectivo, basado en registros de datos divulgados por la ABTO y por el *International Registry in Organ Donation and Transplantation (IRODaT)*.

Resultados: En el período evaluado, ocurrieron 75.479 trasplantes renales en Brasil, siendo 43.771 (58%) de donantes fallecidos. La región sudeste presentó el mayor número absoluto ($n = 44.746$, 59,3%) y, al norte, el menor ($n = 1.159$, 1,6%). La variación porcentual acumulada de trasplantes fue del 121% y la variación anual fue negativa en seis ocasiones (1996, 1997, 2002, 2005, 2006, 2015). El cuantitativo de equipos trasplantadores efectivos tuvo una débil relación con el número de procedimientos realizados ($r = 0,45$, p -valor: 0,03). Brasil fue el segundo país con mayor número absoluto del trasplante en el período de análisis, considerando un ranking mundial con 30 naciones.

Conclusión: El cuantitativo de trasplantes renales en el país presentó un importante incremento a lo largo de los años. Sin embargo, los esfuerzos de los involucrados en las diferentes fases del proceso de donación-trasplante deben ser continuos.

© 2018 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

It is estimated that the worldwide prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) is between 11% and 13%¹ and that approximately five million people require renal replacement therapy (RRT).^{1,2} In Brazil, the epidemiological indicators related to this disease have increased rates over the years. Only in 2014, more than 100 thousand Brazilian patients performed dialytic treatment.³ For those who require RRT, the expected improvement in survival is in the performance of a kidney transplant (KT).⁴

In this direction, since 1954, the year in which the first successful KT in the world (specifically in the city of Boston – United States of America – USA), several advances in the field of medicine and health sciences as a whole have contributed to that more and more individuals with end-stage CKD can benefit from this treatment modality. Currently, KT is a routine procedure in thousands of transplant centers around the world.⁴⁻⁶

In Brazil, registration of the first KT occurred just over 50 years ago, that is, about a decade after the conclusion of the first procedure with positive results worldwide. It was in the early 1960s, in the midst of a turbulent historical-political context experienced by the country at the time (military dictatorship), that work began on the realization of the first KT on Brazilian soil.^{5,6}

In the context of the panorama presented here, it is understood that the epidemiological studies are important within the analytical context of the triad “structure, processes and results” involving KT in Brazil, since the data encourage the planning of new public policies aimed at improving the system

and, consequently, the process of donation-transplantation as a whole.³⁻⁶

In this sense, this research aimed to evaluate the quantitative evolution of KT in Brazil during the period from 1995 to 2015 and to classify the country's position in the international scenario.

Methods

This ecological and retrospective study is the analysis of the KT records that occurred in Brazil and the world between 1995 and 2015. Data from the national bank maintained by the Brazilian Association of Organ Transplantation (ABTO), called the “Brazilian Registry of Transplantation – RBT”,⁷ which is published through periodic electronic editions and free access to both the academic and scientific community as well as to the general population.

With the use of a structured and specifically designed form for this study, the following data were extracted from the RBT: absolute (gross) and adjusted (per million population) KT occurring in each year of evaluation, according to the type of donor (living or deceased) and the regional geographical location of occurrence (northeast, north, central-west, southeast and south); number of effective transplantation teams (who performed at least one kidney transplant over a year). In addition, the absolute and adjusted numbers of KT occurred worldwide in the same period of the national evaluation (1995 to 2015) were extracted from the International Registry in Organ Donation and Transplantation (IRODaT).⁸

In order to carry out an evaluation of updated information, the data were “reverse-collected”, i.e., for all variables, data were extracted from the most recently published edition. In

Table 1 – Kidney transplants occurred in Brazil (1995–2015).

Year	Donor type						AR ^a	ETT ^a			
	Living		Deceased		Both						
	Absolute number	pmp	Absolute number	pmp	Absolute number	pmp					
1995	879	5.6	932	5.9	1811	11.5	–	–			
1996	898	5.7	862	5.5	1760	11.2	–2.8	–			
1997	960	6.1	790	5.1	1750	11.2	–0.6	103			
1998	1092	6.8	860	5.4	1952	12.2	11.5	108			
1999	1388	8.5	1000	6.1	2388	14.6	22.3	111			
2000	1683	10.1	1219	7.3	2902	17.4	21.5	128			
2001	1850	10.7	1265	7.4	3115	18.1	7.3	129			
2002	1852	10.9	1194	7	3046	17.9	–2.2	130			
2003	1827	10.8	1359	8	3186	18.8	4.6	130			
2004	1704	10	1800	10.6	3504	20.6	10	115			
2005	1754	9.5	1618	10.3	3372	19.8	–3.8	145			
2005	1774	10.3	1525	9	3299	19.3	–2.2	144			
2006	1724	9.3	1750	9.5	3474	18.8	5.3	140			
2008	1788	9.7	2035	11.1	3823	20.8	10	142			
2009	1752	9.5	2546	13.8	4298	23.3	12.4	140			
2010	1656	8.7	3006	15.8	4662	24.5	8.5	140			
2011	1662	8.7	3331	17.5	4993	26.2	7.1	126			
2012	1504	7.9	3931	20.6	5435	28.5	8.9	124			
2013	1386	7.2	4078	21.3	5464	28.5	0.5	129			
2014	1386	7.3	4271	22.4	5657	29.7	3.5	135			
2015	1189	5.8	4399	21.6	5588	27.4	–1.2	131			
1995–2015	31,708	(42) ^b	8.5 ± 1.7 ^c	43,771	(58) ^b	11.5 ± 5.8 ^c	75,479	(100) ^b	20 ± 5.8 ^c	6 ± 7.3 ^c	129 ± 12 ^c

Legend: pmp = per million population.

^a AR = annual rate of variation; ETT = effective transplanting teams;

^b Overall percentage value for the period 1995–2015;

^c Mean ± standard deviation over the period 1995–2015.

Source: Brazilian Registry of Transplants (February/2017).

this way, the figures up to the year 2005 were taken from the annual RBT of 2015 and, therefore, the data referring to the successor years to 2005 were extracted from the annual RBT of 2016.

Nevertheless, considering that the data of interest in this study are continuously updated, it should be pointed out that the results presented refer to the analyzes performed on the data available on the ABTO and IRODaT electronic website in February 2017, the month in which if the data were collected.

Statistical analysis

After being collected, the data were tabulated in spreadsheets of the software Microsoft Excel[®], version 2010, and then exported to the statistical program R,⁹ for which the analyzes were performed. Descriptive analyzes of all variables of interest were performed and Pearson's correlation test was applied (after verification of normality by the Shapiro-Wilk test and the sample's homoscedasticity by F-test) to verify the association between the absolute number of transplants and transplantation teams during the period evaluated. The level of significance was $p < 0.05$.

Ethical aspects

Because it was a research whose objectives returned to the evaluation of secondary data of public access, it was considered that it is not necessary to obtain an opinion from

the Ethics Committee in Research of the institution to which the researchers are linked, as well as authorization from the entities that disclose the data. In spite of this, all sources of data were duly cited and the ethical principles established by the Resolution of the Brazilian National Health Council n^o. 466, of December 12, 2012, were respected.

Results

Between 1995 and 2015, there were 75,479 KT in Brazil, 43,771 (58%) of deceased donors. By adjusting the data to the population quota, an average of 20 ± 5.8 transplants/year per million population was identified. The number of effective transplantation teams had a weak relationship with the number of transplants ($r = 0.45$; $p = 0.03$) and ranged from 103 (1997) to 145 (2005), and in the last year of analysis there were 131 teams. The cumulative percentage growth of transplants during the whole period was 308.6%, but the annual percentage change was negative on six occasions (Table 1).

When analyzing the quantitative of KT according to the geographic location, it was verified that the southeastern region presented the highest absolute number ($n = 44,746$; 59.3%) of the procedures performed, while the northern region, the lowest ($n = 1159$; 1.6%) (Fig. 1).

Regarding the position in the world ranking, it was verified that Brazil was the second country with the highest absolute number of kidney transplants between 1995 and 2015, in a list of 30 nations (Fig. 2). However, in 2015 the country ranked 25th




Fig. 1 – Geographic distribution of KT in Brazil (1995-2015).

Legend: n (%); †mean ± standard derivation.




Fig. 2 – Kidney transplants performed worldwide (1995-2015).




Fig. 3 – Kidney transplants performed worldwide in 2015, according to the absolute numbers (A) and per million population rate (B).

in the world in terms of number of kidney transplants adjusted according to the population quota in that year, presenting a rate of 27.4 transplants per million population (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Internal analysis

From the year in which KT activities began in Brazil to the present day, the number of procedures performed presented

an evolutionary rate above 300%, from 1811 transplants in 1995 to 5588 in 2015. It was also verified that until the year 2005 the transplants occurred mainly in the face of inter-living donation. As of 2006, there was an inversion, and since then, there has been a higher proportion of transplants performed by the allocation of organs from deceased donors (Table 1).

It was no coincidence that in 2006, the creation of the Intra-Hospital Commissions for Donation of Organs and Tissues

for Transplants (CIHDOTT) was instituted, through Ministerial Order n°. 1262. Acting locally, that is, directly within health institutions, CIHDOTTs have among their responsibilities the responsibility of promoting the organization of care protocols for organ donation.¹⁰ From the actions of CIHDOTTs, the amount of post-mortem donations was substantially high. In the year of creation of these commissions, the number of KT from deceased donors was just over half of the total. By 2015, transplants from deceased donors were equivalent to approximately 79% of the procedures performed (Table 1).

Although the activities developed by the CIHDOTTs contributed unequivocally to the evolution of the donation-transplant process in Brazil, it should be noted that other factors were equally important. Among these factors, technological advancement, human resource development and training, and the large number of task forces for population sensitization, carried out by health professionals, labor classes, governmental and non-governmental entities and bodies, are cited.^{5,6}

However, there was a significant regional disparity in the total and average number of transplants performed. While the Southeast region had a mean KT (3729 ± 3717) higher than the national average (3594 ± 1276) and was responsible for 59.3% of surgeries for this purpose between 1995 and 2015, the quantitative effect in northern and in the same period, it was small and represented 1.6% and 5.4% of the total, respectively (Fig. 1). In fact, this fact can be influenced by the great territorial extension of the country. The northern region, for example, is the most extensive of the five Brazilian regions. The State of Amazonas, with its more than 1.5 million square kilometers, has an area greater than the extension of the territories of the south and southeast regions together,¹¹ as well as superior to the territories of several nations. Another issue, which may also have an impact on geographical differences, is the population contingent.

The great territorial extension of the country combined with the significant number of inhabitants (more than 200 million in 2015), favors the existence of regional niches more or less economically developed, depending on the existing local population conglomerate. This is because, in the first instance, it is precisely the population of a given region that determines the aspects related to its territorial development.¹² However, it is certainly understood that the influence of the population on the quantity of transplants is due to the awareness of the act of organ donation rather than intervention over other aspects of the process. Thus, it is suggested that existing inequality is one of the innumerable reflexes of the economic-social inequality that, historically, plagues Brazil.

In 2012, the sum of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the Southeast and South regions corresponded to 70% of the national GDP, and the state of São Paulo (Southeast) presented and has been showing the country's largest GDP, concentrating good part of the Brazilian income, especially in the city of São Paulo.¹³ Thus, when mentioning regional economic development, as a factor that exerts influence on the disparity in the numbers of KT, it is also necessary to consider both efficiency- and effectiveness of the process regarding the cost-effectiveness of the procedures.^{5,6}

In five years (from 2006 to 2011), the total average spending of the states and the Federal District with kidney transplants, including hospital and professional expenses, increased by 103.83%. However, capturing and transplanting activities remained at the end of the period more efficient in terms of productivity in the states located in the Southeast and South regions,¹⁴ which corroborates with the results of the present research, in which it was identified that in the referred more than 80% of the total kidney transplantation in the period evaluated (Fig. 1).

In a study conducted by members of the Institute of Applied Economic Research, it was possible to verify that, in 2006, the percentage of KT needs met by the states showed great variation, ranging from 0.12% in Mato Grosso (central-west) to 32.72% in Santa Catarina (southern region). In addition, the study also highlights that, in that year, the rate transplantation teams per million population showed higher in the Southeast and South regions.¹⁵

This context portrays a multifaceted problem, which is evidenced both in the disparity of recruitment and transplant numbers, and by the existence of overloaded and poorly distributed teams of CIHDOTTs and inactive Organ Procurement Organizations, as well as weakly engaged state power stations, among other aspects, arising from the binomial "causes-consequences" of the managerial inefficiency and inefficiency of the decentralized system.^{14,15}

Regarding cost-effectiveness, there is evidence in the literature that KT presents more benefits, both from the clinical point of view (by improving patients 'quality of life')¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and financial (given the lower monetary cost with patients' treatment),^{18,19} in comparison to RRT modalities. Given the complexity of the process and the Brazilian system itself, the difficulties experienced by the country in relation to the existing regional discrepancies present multidimensional determinants and, therefore, the mentioned aspects are only some of the possible causes, which go far beyond, whose discussion on the subject demands further study. In spite of this, it is emphasized that the creation of a system, based on the principles of universal access and integrality of assistance and, above all, gratuitous, represented a revolutionary framework in the historical-epidemiological context of KT occurred in Brazil.

Brazil's position in the international scenario

Established by Decree n°. 2.268, on June 30, 1997,²⁰ the Brazilian National Transplant System (Brazilian-NTS) is considered to be the largest public transplant system in the contemporary world.^{5,6,21,22}

The Brazilian-NTS's good reputation is due, in particular, to its position in the world transplant ranking. As can be seen in Fig. 2, throughout the evaluated period, only the USA performed a number of surgeries of KT superior to Brazil. It is worth noting that, in addition to possessing about 100 million inhabitants more than Brazil (which invariably raises the amount of donors), the USA is also a universal reference in terms of organ harvesting, due in large part to part, for the juridical conformation

that it possesses, with respect to the donation-transplant process.²³

Unlike in our country, where inter-living donation occurs only between people who are in the bloodstream up to the fourth degree, and post-mortem donation occurs through the consent of the spouse or blood relative to the second degree,²⁴ the USA law allows what is called the kidney paired donation (KPD).²⁵ Through KPD, a person who wishes to donate a kidney to a family member who needs it, but unfortunately is not compatible with the family, can donate to another patient with whom he/she has no blood relationship, presents the necessary compatibility for the accomplishment of the procedure.²⁵ In addition, to obtain organs from deceased donors, there is no need for family consent, since the USA adopts the presumed consent law.²³ The KPD method and the presumed donation generate controversy and great discussions, from the clinical, ethical, political and financial point of view.

Even with all the difficulties, Brazil was ahead of the great powers of the world, such as England, Spain, Holland and Croatia, in the absolute number of KT performed (Figs. 2 and 3A). These countries also have international respect in the field of transplants (renal and other organs/tissues), since they present the best per capita rates of procedures. Fig. 3B shows that the Netherlands and Spain had the highest transplant rates per million population in the year 2015.

Globally recognized, the Spanish model presents the best rates of organ donation in the world. In 20 years, Spain jumped from 14.3 to 34.4 donors per million population. This is a result of a number of changes in the country's system, including the implementation of a network for coordination of transplants, improvement of coordinators' profiles, training and permanent education of health professionals (especially doctors and nurses working in units intensive care and emergency and emergency services), investments and efforts aimed at post-mortem donations, support to professionals working at the local level given by representatives of the national body, intense work with the mass media for population awareness and financial reimbursement to hospitals for the activities developed, among others.²⁶

In recent years, Brazil has also experienced an increase in the effective donor rate, from nine in 2009 to 14.6 donors per million population in 2016. Among the factors that block an even more significant increase is the family refusal to attract organs of deceased donors, which represents more than 40% of the reasons for not donating donations in the country.²⁷ This situation aggravates, even more, the problem of the disproportion between supply and demand in Brazil. Thus, in an attempt to minimize the disposal of organs in which the donation is consented, some Brazilian teams perform transplants with donors of expanded criteria (DEC).

They are classified with DEC patients older than 60 years or between 50 and 59 years old and with at least two of the following conditions are classified as having a history of hypertension; serum creatinine concentration greater than 1.5 mg/dl; cerebrovascular accident as cause of death.²⁸ According to the literature, considering DEC may have a significantly positive impact on the number of organs allocated for KT.²⁹ Moreover, patients transplanted with DEC kidneys, although they have a delayed rate of graft function, appear to

present a similar survival to that seen in patients transplanted from organs of donors with standard criteria.²⁸⁻³¹

Needs for continuous improvement

The use of DEC kidneys is just one of the innumerable strategies adopted in an attempt to expand the allocation of organs that, therefore, make it possible to reduce the extensive quantitative number of patients in waiting queues for transplants. Other strategies should include continuous improvement of public policies, especially in relation to better distribution of resources (material, human, financial, logistic, etc.), and updating of legal provisions; continuing and continuing education; promote evidence-based (professional) practice; awareness of the importance of donating organs; make available statistics on transplants that are carried out in the country; encourage everyone to talk about giving and warn their families about the desire to be a donor. From this, it may be possible to subsidize the narrowing of regional disparities and the gap between supply and demand, as well as to keep Brazil prominent on the international scene, allowing Brazilian-NTS to continue to be a model for several nations.

Limitations of the study

We have included some additional information in the electronic supplementary material. However, we recognize that the study has limitations. Due to the retrospective collection, it was not possible to recover important data for more comprehensive analysis. Information on the total number of transplantation centers (and not only the effective centers); demographic data for each region of country (with the respective transplant rates per million of population, for each year analyzed), as well as refusal data for donation presented for each region/year, among others, would contribute to a better analysis on the kidney transplants in the Brazil.

Conclusion

More than five decades after the first procedure in Brazil, the number of kidney transplants in Brazil has shown significant growth over the years. Thus, it is possible to say that there are reasons to celebrate. However, internal disparities are still significant. Thus, it is imperative that all actors involved in the process carry out their actions in an integrated and articulated way, in order to minimize regional discrepancies and to sustain the country as an international model, not only with regard to renal transplants, but with respect to the transplants as a whole.

Authors' contributions

All authors have contributed substantially to the submitted work and have read and approved the final manuscript. In particular, Santos RP participated in the drafting of the manuscript and critically revised the manuscript. Carvalho ARS and Peres LAB critically revised the manuscript.

Funding

Nil.

Conflict interests

The authors declare no conflict interest.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at [doi:10.1016/j.nefro.2018.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2018.07.005)

REFERENCES

- Hill NR, Fatoba ST, Oke JL, Hirst JA, O'Callaghan CA, Lasserson DS, et al. Global prevalence of chronic kidney disease: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* [Internet]. 2016;11:e0158765, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158765>. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4934905/> [accessed 21.7.17].
- Liyanage T, Ninomiya T, Jha V, Neal B, Patrice HM, Okpechi I, et al. Worldwide access to treatment for end-stage kidney disease: a systemic review. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2015;385:1975-82, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61601-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61601-9). Available from: [http://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lancet/PIIS0140-6736\(14\)61601-9.pdf](http://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lancet/PIIS0140-6736(14)61601-9.pdf) [accessed 22.7.17].
- Sesso RC, Lopes AA, Thomé FS, Lugon JR, Martins CT. Brazilian chronic dialysis census 2014. *J Bras Nefrol* [Internet]. 2016;38:54-61, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5935/0101-2800.20160009>. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/jbn/v38n1/en_0101-2800-jbn-38-01-0054.pdf [accessed 21.7.17].
- Garcia GG, Harden P, Chapman J. The global role of kidney transplantation. *J Nephrol* [Internet]. 2012;25:1-6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5301/jn.5000113>. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22307406> [accessed 15.6.17].
- Medina-Pestana JO, Galante NZ, Tedesco-Silva H Jr, Harada KM, Garcia VD, Abbud-Filho M, et al. Kidney transplantation in Brazil and its geographic disparity. *J Bras Nefrol* [Internet]. 2011;33:472-84, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0101-28002011000400014>. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/jbn/v33n4/en_14.pdf [accessed 21.6.17].
- Pêgo-Fernandes PM, Garcia VD. Current status of transplantation in Brazil. *São Paulo Med J* [Internet]. 2010;128:3-4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-31802010000100001>. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/spmj/v128n1/01.pdf> [accessed 21.6.17].
- Associação Brasileira de Transplantes de Órgãos – ABTO. Registro Brasileiro de Transplantes. Estatísticas de Transplantes [Internet]. São Paulo, SP. Available from: <http://www.abto.org.br/abtov03/default.aspx?mn=457&c=900&s=0> [accessed 20.5.16].
- International Registry in Organ Donation and Transplantation – IRODaT [online]. Database. Available from: <http://www.irodat.org/?p=database> [accessed 10.2.17].
- R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2013.
- Brasil. Portaria no. 1.262, de 16 de junho de 2006. Aprova o regulamento técnico para estabelecer as atribuições, deveres e indicadores de eficiência e do potencial de doação de órgãos e tecidos relativos às Comissões Intra hospitalares de Doação de Órgãos e Tecidos para Transplantes [Internet]. Brasília, DF; 2006. Available from: http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/saudelegis/gm/2006/prt1262-16_06_2006_comp.html [accessed 20.1.17].
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). Área territorial brasileira [Internet]. Rio de Janeiro, RJ: IBGE; 2017. Available from http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/geociencias/cartografia/default_territ_area.shtm [accessed 21.7.17].
- Silva SP. A territorial approach to the planning of public policies and the challenges for a new relationship between state and society in Brazil. *Cad Gestão Pública Cid* [Internet]. 2012;17:148-67. Available from: <http://bibliotecadigital.fgv.br/ojs/index.php/cgpc/article/viewFile/4043/2731> [accessed 21.7.17].
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). Contas regionais do Brasil 2010-2014 [Internet]. Rio de Janeiro, RJ: IBGE; 2017. Available from: <http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/estatistica/economia/contasregionais/2014/default.shtm> [accessed 21.7.17].
- Costa CKF, Neto GB, Sampaio LMB. Efficiency of Brazilian States and the Federal District in the public kidney transplant system based on DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) and the Malmquist index. *Cad Saúde Pública* [Internet]. 2014;30:1667-79, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00121413>. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/csp/v30n8/0102-311X-csp-30-8-1667.pdf> [accessed 22.7.17].
- Marinho A, Cardoso SS, Almeida VV. Organ transplantation in Brazilian States: effectiveness, productivity, and capacity. *Cad Saúde Pública* [Internet]. 2011;27:1560-8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X2011000800011>. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/csp/v27n8/11.pdf> [accessed 22.7.17].
- Santos RP, Rocha DLB. Quality of life after kidney transplantation: review integrative. *Enferm Nefrol* [Internet]. 2014;17:51-8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4321/S2254-28842014000100009>. Available from: http://scielo.isciii.es/pdf/enefrol/v17n1/11_revision1.pdf [accessed 21.7.17].
- Purnell TS, Auguste P, Crews DC, Lamprea-Montealegre L, Olufade T, Greer R, et al. Comparison of life participation activities among adults treated by hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, and kidney transplantation: a systemic review. *Am J Kidney Dis* [Internet]. 2013;62:1-34, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.03.022>. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3809150/pdf/nihms486330.pdf> [accessed 21.7.17].
- Silva SB, Caulliraux HM, Rocha E. Cost comparison of kidney transplant versus dialysis in Brazil. *Cad Saúde Pública* [Internet]. 2016;32:e00013515, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-311x00013515>. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/csp/v32n6/1678-4464-csp-32-06-e00013515.pdf> [accessed 21.7.17].
- Gouveia DSS, Bignelli AT, Hokazona SR, Danucalov I, Siemens TA, Meyer F, et al. Analysis of economic impact between the modality of renal replacement therapy. *J Bras Nefrol* [Internet]. 2017;39:162-71, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5935/0101-2800.20170019>. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/jbn/v39n2/0101-2800-jbn-20170019.pdf> [accessed 5.8.17].

20. Brasil. Decreto no. 2.268, de 30 de junho de 1997. Regulamenta a Lei no. 9.434, de 4 de fevereiro de 1997, que dispõe sobre a remoção de órgãos, tecidos e partes do corpo humano para fins de transplante e tratamento, e dá outras providências [Internet]. Brasília, DF; 1997. Available from: <http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil.03/decreto/1997/d2268.htm> [accessed 20.1.17].
21. International Registry in Organ Donation and Transplantation – IRODaT. Brasil dispara em transplantes de órgãos e cresce também em número de doadores. Desafios do Desenvolvimento [Internet]; 2010. Available from: <http://www.irodat.org/img/database/grafics/newsletter/IRODaT%20Newsletter%202013%20.pdf> [accessed 29.7.17].
22. Associação Brasileira de Transplantes de Órgãos – ABTO. Reg Bras Transpl [online]. 2014;20:1–81. Available from: <http://www.abto.org.br/abtov03/Upload/file/RBT/2014/rbt2014-lib.pdf> [accessed 29.7.17].
23. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN). Policies [Internet]; 2017. Available from: <https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/media/1200/optn.policies.pdf> [accessed 23.7.17].
24. Brasil. Lei no. 10.211, de 23 de março de 2001. Altera dispositivos da 9.434, de 4 de fevereiro de 1997, que “dispõe sobre a remoção de órgãos, tecidos e partes do corpo humano para fins de transplante e tratamento” [Internet]. Brasília, DF; 2001. Available from: <http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil.03/leis/LEIS.2001/L10211.htm#art1> [accessed 20.1.16].
25. United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS). Kidney paired donation [Internet]; 2015. Available from: <https://www.unos.org/donation/kidney-paired-donation/> [accessed 23.7.17].
26. Matesanz R, Domínguez-Gil B, Coll E, De la Rosa G, Marazuela R. Spanish experience as a leading country: what kind of measures were taken? *Transpl Int* [Internet]. 2011;24:333–43, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-2277.2010.01204.x>. Available from: <http://www.ont.es/publicaciones/Documents/Articulos/2011/Transplant%20International-Spanish%20exp.pdf> [accessed 23.7.17].
27. Associação Brasileira de Transplantes de Órgãos (ABTO). Registro Brasileiro de Transplantes – RBT 2016. Dimensionamento dos transplantes no Brasil e em cada estado (2009–2016) [Internet]; 2017. Available from: <http://www.abto.org.br/abtov03/Upload/file/RBT/2016/RBT2016-leitura.pdf> [accessed 23.7.17].
28. Matos ACC, Requião-Moura LR, Clarizia G, Junior MSD, Tonato EJ, Chinen R, et al. Expanding the pool of kidney donors: use of kidneys with acute renal dysfunction. *Einstein* [Internet]. 2015;13:319–25. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/eins/v13n2/pt_1679-4508-eins-13-2-0319.pdf [accessed 23.7.17].
29. Sung RS, Guidinger MK, Lake GD, McBride MA, Greenstein SM, Delmonico FL, et al. Impact of the expanded criteria donor allocation system on the use of expanded criteria donor kidneys. *Transplantation*. 2005;79:1257–61.
30. Helfer MS, Vicari AR, Spuldaro F, Gonçalves LFS, Manfro RC. Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of delayed graft function in deceased donor kidney transplantation in a Brazilian center. *Transplant Proc* [Internet]. 2014;46:1727–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2014.05.026>. Available from: [http://www.transplantation-proceedings.org/article/S0041-1345\(14\)00374-1/pdf](http://www.transplantation-proceedings.org/article/S0041-1345(14)00374-1/pdf) [accessed 23.7.17].
31. Mota LS, Oliveira CMC, Junior FMLP, Santos LCO, Nóbrega DG, Fernandes PFBC, et al. Comparative study between kidney transplantation with deceased donor expanded criteria and donor standard criteria in a single center in Brazil. *J Bras Nefrol* [Internet]. 2016;38:334–43, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5935/0101-2800.20160051>. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/readcube/epdf.php?doi=10.5935/0101-2800.20160051&pid=S0101-28002016000300334&pdf_path=jbn/v38n3/0101-2800-jbn-38-03-0334.pdf&lang=en [accessed 23.7.17].