

Letter to the Editor

Response to editorial comments - Manuscript: Multicenter validation of the Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) in Spanish patients with advanced chronic kidney disease



Respuesta a comentarios editoriales - Manuscrito: Validación multicéntrica de la fórmula Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) en pacientes españoles con enfermedad renal crónica avanzada

Dear Editor,

We are grateful for the comments received and, in response, offer the following considerations, based on current evidence and aimed at highlighting both the methodological soundness and clinical relevance of our study.

Multicentricity as a methodological strength

We consider that one of the methodological strengths of our study is its multicentre nature. The participation of 13 hospitals distributed throughout Spain, from the Canary Islands to Asturias, has allowed us to study a diverse cohort that accurately reflects the clinical and geographical variability of patients with advanced chronic kidney disease in our country.

This breadth reinforces the external validity of the study, in contrast to previous studies carried out in a single centre. As several publications have pointed out, multicentre studies are essential to validate predictive tools in heterogeneous populations, reducing possible biases of regional origin.¹ Our approach is also consistent with the recommendations of the KDIGO 2024 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease, published by Kidney Disease | Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO), which advocates validating models in different populations before their routine clinical application.^{2,3}

Exclusion of non-renal deaths: methodological rationale

The decision to exclude patients who died of non-renal causes prior to outcome is a deliberate attempt to remain faithful to the original objective of the Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) formula. As the developers of the model themselves point out, its purpose is to estimate the risk of progression to end-stage renal failure, especially in patients at highest risk, and thus facilitate the organization of appropriate care pathways.^{4,5}

This approach is supported by other studies, which point out that the inclusion of non-renal deaths as competing events may compromise the accuracy of the model, particularly in older populations.⁶⁻⁸ Indeed, one article on renal transplant patients adopted a similar strategy, excluding such events in their KFRE validations.⁹

We believe that our methodological approach allows a more nuanced assessment of the predictive capacity of the model in relation to its specific objective: to identify patients* at risk of requiring renal replacement therapy.

Model performance and need for recalibration

The results obtained, with a five-year Area Under the Curve (AUC) of 0.7639, reflect a satisfactory performance in our national cohort. Although this value may seem somewhat lower than that reported in original studies in Canadian populations, this difference is consistent with the existing literature, which points to the need to recalibrate the KFRE when applied in populations other than those in which it was developed.⁶

Recent validations in countries such as the United Kingdom or Singapore have confirmed that, although the discriminative ability of the model is usually maintained, its calibration requires adjustments to adapt to specific clinical and epidemiological contexts.^{10,11}

Differentiation from previous studies in Spain

To the best of our knowledge, this study represents the first multicentre validation of the KFRE formula performed in Spain. Our sample included 602 patients from 13 hospitals, which provides not only statistical robustness, but also a diverse representation of the clinical realities of the country.

We believe that our work complements the findings of other research conducted in the Spanish population, providing concrete and contextualized information on the utility of the model within our healthcare system.¹²

Finally, we recognize the value of the work of Gallego-Valcarce et al. who performed the first validation of the KFRE model in a Spanish cohort.¹² However, that study was single-centre and had a smaller sample ($n = 339$), limited to a single Madrid hospital. This contrasts with the breadth and diversity of our cohort, whose multicentre nature considerably strengthens the external validity and generalizability of the results.

We analysed both the KFRE formula and the Grams formula in this study, the latter considering death as a competing event in predicting the risk of progression to end-stage CKD. The Grams formula is especially relevant for identifying patients with a higher risk of mortality, in whom it could be useful to propose differentiated strategies with respect to renal replacement therapy, should this become necessary. Finally, the KDIGO guidelines recommend the use of the KFRE formula in the evaluation of patients with chronic kidney disease, with an evidence grade of 1A, and also indicate as a research priority the validation and calibration of the formulas for predicting end-stage renal disease, cardiovascular risk and mortality, a task to which both authors have tried to contribute with our studies. We

explicitly opted to exclude non-renal deaths, thus preserving the specificity of the KFRE formula in its original function. As recently pointed out, including deaths as competing events may substantially modify the interpretation of the predictive performance of the model.⁸

Finally, our study evaluates not only model discrimination and calibration, but also additional metrics such as bias and precision, which are fundamental for a comprehensive and comparative assessment of predictive model performance.

Financing

The study was funded in part by grants from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain (ICI21/00042 and Red RICORS RD21/0005/0012), FONDOS FEDER and FIISC (PFIISC23/12).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KGM. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. *BMC Med.* 2015;13:1.
- KDIGO CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2024 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2024;105 Suppl 1:S117–314.
- Iatrudi F, Carrero JJ, Gall EC, Kanbay M, Luyckx V, Shroff R, et al. KDIGO 2024 Clinical Practice Guideline: a commentary from the European Renal Best Practice (ERBP). *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2025;40:273–82.
- Tangri N, Stevens LA, Griffith J, Tighiouart H, Djurdjev O, Naimark D, et al. A predictive model for progression of chronic kidney disease to kidney failure. *JAMA.* 2011;305:1553–9.
- Grams ME, Sang Y, Ballew SH, Carrero JJ, Djurdjev O, Heerspink HJL, et al. Predicting timing of clinical outcomes in patients with chronic kidney disease and severely decreased glomerular filtration rate. *Kidney Int.* 2018;93:1442–51. Erratum in: *Kidney Int.* 2018;94:1025–6.
- Tangri N, Grams ME, Levey AS, Coresh J, Appel LJ, Astor BC, et al. Multinational assessment of accuracy of equations for predicting risk of kidney failure: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2016;315:164–74.
- Ramspek CL, Evans M, Wanner C, Drechsler C, Chesnaye NC, Szymczak M, et al. Kidney failure prediction models: a comprehensive external validation study in patients with advanced CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2021;32:1174–86.
- Thanabalasingam SJ, Iliescu EA, Norman PA, Day AG, Akbari A, Hundemer GL, et al. Independent external validation and comparison of death and kidney replacement therapy prediction models in advanced CKD. *Kidney Med.* 2022;4100440.
- Tangri N, Ferguson TW, Wiebe C, Eng F, Nash M, Astor BC, et al. Validation of the kidney failure risk equation in kidney transplant recipients. *Can J Kidney Health Dis.* 2020;7. 2054358120922627.
- Wang Y, Nguyen FNHL, Allen JC, Lew JQL, Tan NC, Jafar TH, et al. Validation of the kidney failure risk equation for end-stage kidney disease in Southeast Asia. *BMC Nephrol.* 2019;20:451.
- da Silva BM, Charreu J, Duarte I, Outerelo C, Gameiro J. Validation of the kidney failure risk equation in a Portuguese cohort. *Nefrologia (Engl Ed).* 2023;43:467–73.
- Gallego-Valcarce E, Shabaka A, Tato-Ribera AM, Landaluze-Triska E, León-Poo M, Roldan D, et al. External validation of the KFRE and Grams prediction models for kidney failure and death in a Spanish cohort of patients with advanced chronic kidney disease. *J Nephrol.* 2024;37:429–37.

Marco Montomoli ^{a,b}

^a Servicio de Nefrología, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain

^b INCLIVA, Fundación para la Investigación del Hospital Clínico de la Comunidad Valenciana, Valencia, Spain

E-mail addresses: marcomontomoli@hotmail.it, myemailismarcomontomoli@gmail.com