



Figure 1. Abdominal CT without contrast showing the lanthanum carbonate deposit in the sigmoid colon diverticuli and rectum.



Figure 2. Simple abdominal radiography without contrast showing multiple one-centimetre sized opaque points irregularly distributed along the contour of the colon, which are lanthanum deposits in the diverticuli.



Figure 3. Simple abdominal radiography without contrast showing radio-opaque material distributed along the entire contour of the colon. This image is from another patient receiving the same dose of lanthanum, but with no abdominal symptoms.

radio-opaque, in absence of calcium or phosphorus. However, given our limited experience with the use of this drug, particularly in Spain, it is still not clear what the radiographical distribution pattern is in the abdomen, or whether it can be observed in all patients receiving this medication. Vrigneaud et al.⁷ studied 13 patients treated with lanthanum. In six, the abdominal radiograph is completely normal, while in the rest, the radio-opaque deposit may be observed. However, in contrast with the habitual images with opaque areas smaller than one centimetre distributed regularly throughout the contour of the colon, we found two cases in which the areas were larger and irregularly distributed along the digestive tract. These were in one patient who did not chew the tablets correctly, and another patient with colonic diverticulosis whose profile suggests the material was deposited in the diverticuli, as with the present case.

Our conclusion is that although little data exists about the radiological behaviour of intestinal lanthanum carbonate deposits, it is necessary to know that it is radio-opaque. Furthermore, it is likely that the drug should be used cautiously in patients with intestinal diseases. In addition, we do not know its elimination time, which is crucial information when it comes to performing other imaging studies without interferences. And lastly, we believe that all of the above should be included in the drug's technical leaflet printed in Spain.

1. Lanthanum carbonate: Drug information. UpToDate 16.2. Accessed 31 July 2008 en: http://www.uptodate.com/online/content/topic.do?topicKey=drug_l_z/68079.
2. Pery VP, Behets GJ, Bervoets AR, De Broe ME, D'Haese PC. Lanthanum: a safe phosphate binder. *Semin Dial* 2006;19:195-1999.
3. Cerny S, Kunzendorf U. Radiographic appearance of lanthanum. *N Engl Med* 2006;355:1160.
4. Chuang CL, Chiou SY, Li SY, Jian DY,

Chen JY. The case: a peritoneal dialysis patient with an unusual abdominal film. *Treatment with lanthanum carbonate. Kidney Int* 2007;72(10):1291-2.

5. David S, Kirchoff T, Haller H, Meier M. Heavy metal-rely on gut feelings: novel diagnosis approach to test drug compliance in patients with lanthanum intake. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007;22:2091-2.
6. Pafcugova J, Horackova M, Hraskova M, Forejt J, Szabo M, Pádr R. Radio-opaque appearance of lanthanum carbonate in a patient with chronic renal failure. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008;23:1776.
7. Vrigneaud L, Lefebvre D, Daem AO, Lemaitre V. Radiographic characteristics of lanthanum carbonate absorption. *Nephrol Ther* 2008;4(2):111-3.

B. Diez Ojea¹, S. Medrano Martínez², M.A. Alonso Álvarez¹

Departments of ¹ Nephrology and ² Radiology. Valle del Nalón Hospital. Langreo. Asturias, Spain.

Correspondence: Beatriz Diez Ojea

Servicio de Nefrología.

Hospital Valle del Nalón. Langreo. Asturias. Spain. beaojea@hotmail.com

Difficult to treat atrial fibrillation in a patient on haemodialysis

Nefrologia 2010;30(2):264-5

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most frequent type of arrhythmia with a prevalence of 8.5%¹ in the general population, ranging between 13.6%² and 23.4%³ in patients on haemodialysis (HD). It tends to be associated with structural heart disease, particularly left atrial dilation, and electrolyte imbalances such as hypokalaemia and hypocalcaemia must be avoided as they can precipitate a cardiac arrhythmia.

We present the case of a patient with chronic renal failure (CRF) on HD, with a flutter that was difficult to treat pharmacologically because of intolerance to various antiarrhythmic drugs. The patient required pacemaker implantation in order to introduce

treatment with beta-blockers (BB) and control the heart rate (HR).

The patient was 72 years old with end-stage CRF and a history of ischaemic heart disease, high blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, severe vascular disease, chronic AF, and inclusion in the HD programme since 2004. The history of heart disease began in November 2003 when the patient suffered an acute myocardial infarction with an episode of fluttering, which reverted after administering diltiazem retard in 120mg doses every 12 hours. The echocardiogram showed a non-dilated left ventricle with moderate septal hypertrophy (18mm), preserved ejection fraction (EF) and dilated left atrium. In August 2005, the patient presented a new episode of rapid AF and digoxin treatment was reinitiated with a dose of 0.125mg twice per week. Treatment was suspended after one week due to digitalis toxicity. In January 2005, due to a new episode of rapid AF, treatment was begun with 200mg amiodarone five days a week, with which we managed to achieve sinus rhythm (SR). Treatment was discontinued after one month due to hypothyroidism.

Bisoprolol (5mg/dat) was added for persistent cardiac arrhythmia and the patient reverted to sinus rhythm, but it had to be discontinued ten days later due to bradycardia. In May 2006, the patient presented another AF episode despite being treated with diltiazem. Since it was ineffective, it was discontinued and treatment with bisoprolol was initiated once more.

In August 2009, bisoprolol was increased to 7.5mg due to rapid AF. The drug was discontinued one month later due to symptomatic bradycardia of 38 beats/min (Table 1). No electrophysiological study was performed at any time during the course of events due to patient refusal. Given the treatment difficulty, we decided to implant a pacemaker in order to reintroduce BB treatment to control the HR. The patient is currently asymptomatic and shows a good tolerance of the treatment.

Treatment of AF consists of achieving SR and/or controlling the heart rate. Different drugs may be used: digoxin, beta blockers, non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers and amiodarone. However, they are not free from adverse effects in CRF patients. Digoxin is less effective for controlling heart rate, but it is very widely used in patients with a low EF. For patients on HD, it is recommended not to use loading doses, and the maintenance dose should be 0.0625mg/day or 0.125mg every other day. The most frequent adverse effects are supraventricular tachycardia and bradyarrhythmia (to the point of atrioventricular block), bigeminy and gastrointestinal abnormalities.⁴ BB and non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers are the correct drugs for preserved EF, but care must be taken with patients with decreased EF due to the drugs' negative inotropic effects.⁴ BBs with non-renal excretion can be safely prescribed depending on the heart rate and blood pressure. Amiodarone is the drug of choice in patients with a low EF whose HR

cannot be controlled with digoxin. Its adverse affects are as follows: In the thyroid, hypothyroidism or hyperthyroidism; in the respiratory tract, pulmonary fibrosis; and in the heart, long-QT interval and bradycardia with atrioventricular block.⁴ With HD, dosage for antiarrhythmics is a complicated topic, given the increase in its half life and multiple interactions with other drugs commonly used by these patients.

Our patient presented numerous complications associated with different antiarrhythmic treatments without gaining control over the chronic AF and with frequent tachyarrhythmia episodes. He therefore required implantation of a permanent pacemaker in order to control HR using beta blockers, with no new cardiac incidents.

1. Cea Calvo L, Redón J, Lozano JV, Fernández-Pérez C, Martí JC, Listerri J, et al, en representación de los investigadores del estudio PREV-ICTUS. Prevalencia de fibrilación auricular en la población española de 60 o más años de edad. Estudio PREV-ICTUS. Rev Esp Cardiol 2007;60:616-24.
2. Vázquez E, Sánchez-Perales C, Borrego F, García-Cortés MJ. Influence of atrial fibrillation on the morbido-mortality of patients on hemodialysis. Am Heart J 2000;140:886-90.
3. Fabbian F, Catalano C, Lambertini D, Tarroni G. Clinical characteristics associated to atrial fibrillation in chronic hemodialysis patients. Clin Nephrol 2000;54:234-9.
4. Martindale. Guía completa de consulta farmacoterapéutica. Cardiología (2nd ed.). 2006. Barcelona: Pharma Editores; 2006.

J.C. González Oliva, A. Saurina i Solé, M. Pou Potau, K.R. Salas Gama, M. Ramírez de Arellano Serna
Nephrology Department. Hospital de Terrassa. Consorci Sanitari de Terrassa. Terrassa, Spain.

Correspondence:
Juan Carlos González Oliva
Servicio de Nefrología. Hospital de Terrassa. Consorci Sanitari de Terrassa. Terrassa. Spain.
jcdoctor2002@yahoo.es

Table 1. Chronological evolution of antiarrhythmic treatment

Drug	Date started	Action	Motive	Date stopped
Digoxin	25-08-2005	Stopped	Toxicity	01-09-2005
Amiodarone	03-01-2006	Stopped	low T4	30-01-2006
Diltiazem	November 2003	Stopped	Effectiveness	25-05-2006
Bisoprolol	30-01-2006	Stopped	NS dysfunction	12-09-2009