



nefrología

Revista de la Sociedad Española de Nefrología

www.revistaneurologia.com



Original article

Oxidative stress and inflammation on metabolic abnormalities and renal involvement in prediabetic subjects across Europe

Sebastián Mas-Fontao^{a,b,c,*}, Esther Civantos^{a,c}, Nisa Boukichou-Abdelkader^{id,d,e}, Manuel Soto-Catalan^{a,b}, Marta Romeo-Colas^a, Arantxa Marco^{id,a}, Carmen Gomez-Guerrero^{id,a,b}, Juan Antonio Moreno^{id,f,g}, Jaakko Tuomilehto^{id,h,i,j}, Rafael Gabriel^{d,e,k}, Jesús Egido^{a,b,*}, on behalf of ePREDICE investigators[◊]

^a Renal, Vascular and Diabetes Research Laboratory, IIS-Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

^b Spanish Biomedical Research Centre in Diabetes and Associated Metabolic Disorders (CIBERDEM), Madrid, Spain

^c Faculty of Medicine and Biomedicine, Universidad Alfonso X el Sabio (UAX), Madrid, Spain

^d EVIDEM CONSULTORES, Madrid, Spain

^e Asociación para la Investigación y Prevención de la Diabetes y Enfermedades Cardiovasculares (PREDICOR), Madrid, Spain

^f Department of Cell Biology, Physiology and Immunology, University of Cordoba, Cordoba, Spain

^g Maimonides Biomedical Research Institute of Cordoba (IMIBIC), Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía, Cordoba, Spain

^h World Community for Prevention of Diabetes Foundation (WCPD), Madrid, Spain

ⁱ Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland

^j Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

^k Departamento de Salud Internacional, Escuela Nacional de Sanidad, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 March 2024

Accepted 10 October 2024

ABSTRACT

Background: Studying the mechanisms involved in the transition from prediabetes to diabetes and its associated complications, such as kidney disease, is a growing challenge. This study focuses on identifying valuable biomarkers for the early detection of kidney damage, evaluating molecules associated with oxidative stress and inflammation in prediabetic individuals across Europe.

Methods: In plasma samples from individuals with prediabetes included in the ePREDICE study, we determined molecules related to oxidative stress (advanced oxidative protein products-AOPP) and inflammatory biomarkers (C-reactive protein – CRP; Interleukin 6 – IL-6), and correlated them with anthropometric and biochemical data, assessing their potential for the early diagnosis of renal involvement.

Results: Among the 967 people with prediabetes, 94 presented some sign of renal impairment such as albuminuria, hyperfiltration or hypofiltration. Significant variations were identified between oxidative stress and inflammatory biomarkers (upper and lower quartiles of AOPP, CRP and IL6), and parameters associated with blood pressure, glucose metabolism, lipid profile, and fatty liver index. In particular, both types of biomarkers were associated with components of the metabolic syndrome. There were significant associations between AOPP

Keywords:

Type 2 diabetes

Prediabetes

Chronic kidney disease

Oxidative stress

Biomarkers

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: smas@fjd.es (S. Mas-Fontao), jegido@quironsalud.es (J. Egido).

◊ The list of the ePREDICE investigators are given in Appendix.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2025.02.009>

and CRP, and the presence of albuminuria, but not with renal function. Overall, CRP was a better biomarker than IL-6 for most of the parameters studied.

Conclusion: These results highlight the important associations of oxidative stress and inflammation with metabolic abnormalities linked to the prediabetic state and its complications such as fatty liver and renal involvement. Although these results need to be confirmed, our study suggests that AOPP and CRP could be simple biomarkers of interest in predicting the risk of loss of renal function in people with prediabetes.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedad Española de Nefrología.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

El estrés oxidativo y la inflamación en las anomalías metabólicas y la afectación renal en sujetos prediabéticos de toda Europa

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

Diabetes tipo 2
Prediabetes
Enfermedad renal crónica
Estrés oxidativo
Biomarcadores

Antecedentes: El estudio de los mecanismos implicados en la transición de la prediabetes a la diabetes y sus complicaciones asociadas, como la enfermedad renal, es un tema de gran interés. Este estudio se centra en la identificación de biomarcadores eficaces para la detección precoz de la afectación renal, evaluando moléculas asociadas al estrés oxidativo e inflamación en individuos prediabéticos de varios países europeos.

Métodos: En muestras de plasma de individuos prediabéticos, incluidos en el estudio ePREDICE, se determinaron biomarcadores de estrés oxidativo (AOPP) e inflamación (proteína C reactiva, IL-6) y se correlacionaron con datos antropométricos y bioquímicos, evaluando su potencial en el diagnóstico precoz de la disfunción renal.

Resultados: Entre los 967 sujetos con prediabetes, 94 presentaban algún signo de afectación renal, como albuminuria, hiperfiltración o hipofiltración. Se identificaron variaciones significativas entre los biomarcadores de estrés oxidativo e inflamatorios (cuartiles superiores e inferior del AOPP, PCR e IL-6) y parámetros relacionados como la presión arterial, el metabolismo glucémico, el perfil lipídico y el índice de hígado graso. En particular, ambos biomarcadores estaban bien relacionados con los componentes del síndrome metabólico. Existieron asociaciones significativas entre la AOPP y la PCR, y la presencia de albuminuria, pero no con la función renal. En general, la PCR fue mejor biomarcador que la IL-6 para la mayoría de los parámetros estudiados.

Conclusiones: Estos resultados subrayan las importantes conexiones entre estrés oxidativo e inflamación con las anomalías metabólicas ligadas al estado prediabético y sus complicaciones como el hígado graso y la afectación renal. Aunque es necesario confirmar estos datos, nuestro estudio sugiere que los AOPP y la PCR podrían ser biomarcadores de interés en la predicción del riesgo de pérdida de la función renal en los sujetos con prediabetes.

© 2024 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la CC BY-NC-ND licencia (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Background

Hyperglycemia is a symptom of type 2 diabetes (T2D), a silent disease that progresses slowly. Between normoglycemia and T2D, prediabetes or intermediate hyperglycemia is a condition with several pathophysiological manifestations.¹ The rate at which people with prediabetes progress to T2D is approximately 5–10% annually^{2–4} and this rate is influenced by several risk factors, as determined by the diabetes risk score, as well as the sub-phenotype of prediabetes.⁵

Several studies have demonstrated that people with prediabetes are more likely to experience macrovascular⁶ and microvascular complications.^{5,6} As observed in the Rotterdam study, individuals with prediabetes can suffer end-organ damage typical of diabetes along with systemic microvascular

dysfunction.⁷ Based on these data, it has been suggested that the diagnosis of prediabetes should also include determination of microvascular injury.^{8,9}

The diagnosis of chronic kidney disease (CKD) traditionally relies on detecting albuminuria and a decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), based on serum creatinine levels. Albuminuria is a robust tool for predicting prognosis, but its correlation with structural damage and renal function is less apparent in early stages of CKD. Therefore, new biomarkers enabling earlier evaluation of renal damage in high-risk populations are required. Along the development of CKD, the eGFR variation is a key predictor of the renal outcome, being creatinine-based equations such as CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration)¹⁰ and MDRD-4 (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease)¹¹ the most commonly used.

A systematic review and a meta-analysis found a significant association between prediabetes and the risk of CKD.¹² This was further supported by a 9-year prospective cohort study in a Korean adult population, which identified prediabetes as an independent predictor of incident CKD.¹³ However, a causal inference analysis¹⁴ suggested that while prediabetes is causally related to coronary artery disease, its causal effects on other diabetes complications, including CKD, remained unclear. Despite this, the high-risk nature of prediabetes for the development of diabetes and its association with early nephropathy and CKD are rather well-established.¹⁵

There is an escalating interest in the search of biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of the development of diabetes and its complications.^{16,17} In this context, the role of inflammation has emerged as one of pivotal markers in the pathogenesis of T2D and CKD. Systemic inflammatory markers as C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) have been linked to an increased risk of T2D.^{18,19} Circulating tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptors 1 and 2 predict end-stage renal disease in both type 1 and 2 diabetes.^{19,20}

Oxidative stress, intimately associated with hyperglycaemia, is a key contributor of complications in people with diabetes.^{20,21} Biomarkers such as 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, isoprostanes, malondialdehyde, and nitrotyrosine are associated to oxidative stress in people with diabetes. and further vascular damage.²⁰ Furthermore, oxidative stress markers have been identified as valuable indicators in prediabetes, by impacting in glucose uptake and insulin secretion.²² Biomarkers such as advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP) and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), including malondialdehyde (MDA), are significant in detecting oxidative stress in diabetes and CKD.^{23,24}

The Early Prevention of Diabetes Complications in People with Hyperglycaemia (ePREDICE) study is an international, multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Its primary goal was to prevent cardiovascular and microvascular diabetic complications (nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy) through lifestyle interventions and pharmacological treatments (metformin, linagliptin, and their combination).²⁵ The study also assessed the identification of reliable oxidative stress and inflammatory plasma biomarkers for early detection of renal dysfunction, as well as to examine their correlation with the clinical and metabolic status, in a well-characterized group of prediabetic subjects across Europe.

The aim of the present study was to determine whether oxidative stress and inflammatory biomarkers can aid in the early detection of renal dysfunction in individuals with prediabetes. This research seeks to identify tools that could help prevent or delay the development of CKD, ultimately improving the health outcomes of this population.

Materials and methods

The ePREDICE trial and the study participants

ePREDICE is an independent, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial that follows a randomization protocol. Four parallel trial arms were randomly assigned to

participants with equal probability: metformin, linagliptin, a fixed-dose combination of linagliptin plus metformin, and placebo. All participants received nutrition and physical activity instructions as part of a lifestyle intervention. They were followed up throughout one-year extra observational phase after two-year active intervention.

The present study was conducted as a cross-sectional analysis examining the baseline samples from the individuals at risk of T2D and microvascular complications. This substudy aimed at identifying clinical and biochemical markers in the glucose metabolism, as well as potential oxidative and inflammatory biomarkers, that might be predictive of the early renal involvement in people with prediabetes.

Participants were adults aged 45–74 years, diagnosed of prediabetes by means of the assessment of the Impaired Fasting Glucose [IFG], Impaired Glucose Tolerance [IGT], or both. They were recruited from several centers in Austria, Bulgaria, Greece, Kuwait, Poland, Serbia, Spain, and Turkey.

Inclusion criteria were comprehensive, encompassing conditions like T1D, recent cardiovascular events, severe obesity, and certain chronic diseases, among others. Detailed criteria are described in Gabriel et al.²⁵

Plasma, serum, and urine samples were obtained from all patients at the time of recruitment (baseline). The samples were aliquoted, stored at –80°C, and sent on dry ice to the central laboratory (IIS-FJD) for biomarker determination.

Anthropometric, biochemical variables, and lifestyle questionnaires

In the ePREDICE study, anthropometric and biochemical data were collected at each participating center, and the participants completed questionnaires that have been utilized in this study. Key variables included plasma glucose (PG), serum total cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and low-density (LDL) cholesterol, liver function tests: alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT); fatty liver index (FLI); Matsuda Index; Oral Glucose Insulin Sensitivity (OGIS); Insulinogenic Index and other components of glucose metabolism. The study of renal function and assessment of oxidative stress, as well as inflammatory biomarkers, were performed at Fundación Jiménez Díaz, as the ePREDICE central laboratory in Madrid, Spain. The diagnostic assessment of the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was carried out using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease 4 (MDRD4) formula. The clinical classification was performed in accordance with the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Nephrology Guidelines.^{26,27}

Oxidative stress

Advance oxidation protein products (AOPP) were measured spectrophotometrically in plasma samples at 340 nm, and the results were expressed in chloramine-T equivalents, following the methodology described by Selmeci et al.^{23,28} Malondialdehyde (MDA) was assessed using the Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances (TBARS) assay.²⁹

Table 1 – Classification of individuals with prediabetes according with renal involvement based on the urine albumin/creatinine ratio (UACR) and estimated glomerular filtration (eGFR) using the MDRD-4 formula.

Urine albumin/creatinine ratio (mg/g)	Individuals, n=967	Percentage
<30	923	95.3%
30–300	43	4.6%
>300	1	0.1%
eGFR MDRD-4 (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	Individuals	Percentage
>130	20	2.1%
130–60	918	94.9%
59–45	29	3%

Classification of individuals with prediabetes according with renal involvement based on the urine albumin/creatinine ratio (UACR) and estimated glomerular filtration (eGFR) using the MDRD-4 formula.

Inflammatory biomarkers

High sensitivity C-reactive protein (Hs-CRP) was assessed by latex-enhanced immunoturbidimetry (ADVIA 2400 Chemistry System, Siemens, Germany). Serum IL-6 was quantified by ELISA (R&D Systems, MIN, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol.

Statistical analysis

Data were managed using OpenClinica. Descriptive statistics, median and interquartile ranges for quantitative variables, and percentages for qualitative variables are presented. Non-parametric data were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon tests. Correlation matrices evaluated linear dependencies among quantitative variables. Pearson's χ^2 test was used for qualitative data. Statistical significance was set at p -value < 0.05. Analyses were performed using R software (v4.0.2) in R Studio (v1.4), with libraries such as ggplot2, jmv, finalfit, and gtsummary.

Results

Identification of renal involvement in prediabetic subjects

Out of the 967 participants included in the study, the analysis of renal function data revealed that 20 individuals exhibited an eGFR exceeding 130 mL/min/1.3 m², and they were considered as having hyperfiltration. Additionally, 29 individuals displayed an eGFR below 60 mL/min/1.3 m² and were listed as having chronic kidney disease. The rest of participants were considered to have renal function within the "normal range".

Based on albumin urinary excretion (albumin/creatinine ratio), 44 subjects had albuminuria within the ratio range of 30–300 mg/g, corresponding to stage A2, according to the KDIGO guidelines. Notably, one person exhibited an albuminuria level of 510 mg/g (stage A3) (Table 1).

Surprisingly, we only identified two persons with hyperfiltration in conjunction with albuminuria at stage A2, while another three people displayed hypofiltration along with albuminuria stage A2. These findings depicted valuable insights into the spectrum of renal involvement within this prediabetic population. Unfortunately, no further clinical and follow-up information was gathered about those individuals' outcome.

Oxidative stress biomarkers and clinical and metabolic status

For statistical analysis, AOPP data were categorized into quartiles, as detailed in Table 2. Our data revealed that the individuals in the upper quartile of AOPP (Q4) had higher weight, height, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, insulin sensitivity, serum total cholesterol, triglycerides and HDL, ALT and the AST/ALT ratio, GGT, metabolic syndrome, and FLI compared with those with the lowest AOPP level (Q1).

We also observed a significant correlation between AOPP and the following variables: systolic blood pressure ($R^2 = 0.132$, $p = 0.002$), diastolic blood pressure ($R^2 = 0.144$, $p < 0.001$), OGIS ($R^2 = -0.088$, $p = 0.043$), serum total cholesterol ($R^2 = 0.194$, $p < 0.001$), serum triglycerides ($R^2 = 0.388$, $p < 0.001$), the metabolic syndrome status ($R^2 = 0.194$, $p < 0.001$), HDL ($R^2 = -0.169$, $p < 0.001$), LDL ($R^2 = 0.095$, $p = 0.03$), ALT ($R^2 = 0.129$, $p = 0.003$), AST/ALT ratio ($R^2 = -0.183$, $p < 0.001$), GGT ($R^2 = 0.149$, $p < 0.001$), and FLI ($R^2 = 0.132$, $p = 0.002$).

Association of AOPP with the metabolic syndrome components

In accordance with the NCEP ATP III guidelines,³⁰ the metabolic syndrome was defined when an individual met three or more of the following criteria: overweight (waist circumference exceeding 102 cm for men and 88 cm for women), hypertension (exceeding 130/85 mmHg), fasting serum triglycerides (exceeding 150 mg/dL), and lower HDL (below 40 mg/dL for men or 50 mg/dL for women) and hyperglycemia (fasting PG over 100 mg/dL).

Our analysis revealed a significant association between elevated AOPP and each of the components of the metabolic syndrome: 698 out of 967 people were overweight or obese, with AOPP levels averaging $2675 \pm 1168 \mu\text{mol/L}$ compared with $2612 \pm 1208 \mu\text{mol/L}$ in non-obese individuals (p -value = not significant). People with hypertension ($n = 484$) had AOPP of 2794 ± 1275 versus 2517 ± 1051 in people with normotension ($p = 0.0071$).

AOPP was significantly elevated among the 279 people with hypertriglyceridemia compared with those with normal triglycerides: 3177 ± 1307 versus 2462 ± 1063 ($p < 0.001$). For 304 people with low HDL (below 40 mg/dL for men or 50 mg/dL for

Table 2 – Quartile analysis of AOPP for the main study variables: the Kruskal-Wallis's test was used for quartile analysis (p-value), with the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test applied for comparisons between the Q1 and Q4 quartiles (p-value2).

Characteristic	Q1 N = 130 (25%)	Q2 N = 176 (33%)	Q3 N = 88 (17%)	Q4 N = 132 (25%)	p-Value	p-Value2
Sex					0.92	0.89
Male	57 (44%)	73 (41%)	36 (41%)	59 (45%)		
Female	73 (56%)	103 (59%)	52 (59%)	73 (55%)		
Age (yr)	59 (53, 65)	59 (53, 65)	58 (53, 65)	59 (52, 65)	>0.99	0.92
Weight (kg) (M vs F)	87 (77, 96)	91 (82, 100)	90 (85, 104)	89 (80, 101)	0.38	0.42
	74 (66, 86)	78 (68, 92)	83 (70, 90)	83 (73, 90)	0.12	0.02
Body mass index	28.8 (26.0, 33.5)	30.2 (27.1, 33.8)	30.7 (27.8, 34.0)	30.1 (27.7, 33.1)	0.23	0.17
Height (cm)	172 (167, 177)	174 (169, 180)	175 (171, 178)	175 (170, 178)	0.33	0.18
(M vs F)	158 (154, 162)	161 (155, 165)	159 (156, 164)	161 (156, 166)	0.063	0.017
Waist circumference (cm)	108 (100, 115)	106 (100, 114)	107 (99, 113)	106 (96, 113)	0.84	0.39
(M vs F)	99 (89, 108)	100 (96, 110)	101 (94, 109)	99 (94, 108)	0.56	0.46
Hip circumference (cm)	108 (100, 114)	107 (102, 112)	109 (102, 114)	106 (100, 110)	0.45	0.12
(M vs F)	110 (102, 117)	111 (104, 121)	111 (104, 117)	108 (104, 120)	0.91	0.51
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	129 (118, 141)	128 (120, 141)	136 (127, 146)	136 (123, 146)	0.003	0.014
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	80 (74, 87)	80 (74, 87)	84 (76, 91)	84 (80, 90)	<0.001	0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)	116 (112, 121)	115 (112, 122)	115 (112, 123)	115 (112, 121)	0.86	0.63
2 h plasma glucose (mg/dL)	147 (121, 171)	142 (123, 162)	145 (124, 176)	148 (128, 177)	0.26	0.4
Fasting serum insulin (UI)	10 (7, 14)	11 (8, 15)	12 (8, 15)	11 (8, 16)	0.49	0.14
Matsuda Index	2.81 (2.08, 4.03)	2.75 (1.80, 3.83)	2.58 (1.94, 3.34)	2.43 (1.85, 3.45)	0.16	0.03
Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA IR)	2.95 (2.10, 4.06)	3.10 (2.22, 4.51)	3.36 (2.41, 4.22)	3.27 (2.22, 4.64)	0.51	0.17
Oral Glucose Insulin Sensitivity	336 (316, 364)	336 (307, 359)	324 (294, 349)	320 (296, 349)	0.002	<0.001
Prediabetes criteria					0.15	0.16
IGT	29 (22%)	40 (23%)	28 (32%)	43 (33%)		
IFG	51 (39%)	80 (45%)	32 (36%)	42 (32%)		
IGT + IFG	50 (38%)	56 (32%)	28 (32%)	47 (36%)		
HbA1c (%)	5.80 (5.50, 6.10)	5.80 (5.60, 6.10)	5.80 (5.60, 6.00)	5.84 (5.60, 6.07)	0.84	0.51
Mean eGFR, MDRD4 (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	86 (75, 96)	86 (71, 100)	83 (72, 91)	86 (76, 99)	0.26	0.83
eGFR stages MDRD-4 (mL/min/1.73 m ²)					0.09	0.11
G1	36 (28%)	65 (37%)	24 (27%)	50 (38%)		
G2	80 (62%)	91 (52%)	54 (61%)	69 (52%)		
G3a	4 (3.1%)	9 (5.1%)	7 (8.0%)	8 (6.1%)		
HF	10 (7.7%)	11 (6.2%)	3 (3.4%)	5 (3.8%)		
Urinary albumin/creatinine ratio (mg/g)	3 (2, 5)	4 (1, 8)	3 (1, 9)	2 (1, 5)	0.011	0.015
Serum total cholesterol (mg/dL)	198 (179, 219)	197 (174, 222)	205 (179, 230)	205 (183, 236)	0.026	0.043
Serum triglycerides (mg/dL)	95 (74, 131)	116 (83, 144)	125 (101, 169)	146 (97, 205)	<0.001	<0.001
Serum HDL (mg/dL) (M vs F)	50 (43, 59)	46 (41, 52)	45 (41, 54)	42 (37, 48)	0.002	<0.001
	56 (47, 66)	52 (46, 61)	52 (43, 62)	48 (40, 61)	0.0025	0.005
Serum LDL (mg/dL)	123 (105, 144)	121 (100, 142)	129 (102, 149)	129 (108, 151)	0.15	0.19
Metabolic syndrome (Y)	62 (48%)	92 (52%)	58 (66%)	101 (77%)	<0.001	<0.001
Platelet count	228 (200, 262)	236 (203, 282)	235 (200, 271)	243 (210, 278)	0.29	0.051
ALT (SGPT) (UI)	20 (17, 28)	22 (17, 30)	25 (20, 36)	23 (18, 32)	0.005	0.039
AST (SGOT) (UI)	22 (17, 26)	19 (16, 24)	21 (14, 25)	20 (16, 25)	0.11	0.23
AST/ALT ratio	0.97 (0.80, 1.21)	0.89 (0.68, 1.08)	0.80 (0.57, 1.00)	0.85 (0.64, 1.10)	0.008	0.007
Gammaglutamyl transferase (GGT)	24 (15, 35)	22 (16, 32)	24 (16, 44)	30 (20, 42)	0.008	0.002
Fatty liver index	64 (34, 85)	69 (43, 85)	74 (52, 88)	75 (49, 90)	0.014	0.003
Alcohol consumption (Y)	67 (53%)	107 (62%)	51 (59%)	77 (59%)	0.48	0.37
Smoking (Y)	58 (45%)	87 (49%)	33 (38%)	74 (56%)	0.045	0.064
CRP (ng/mL)	0.22 (0.08, 0.57)	0.20 (0.05, 0.55)	0.23 (0.08, 0.70)	0.31 (0.14, 0.56)	0.25	0.2
IL-6 (pg/mL)	3 (1, 5)	3 (1, 9)	3 (2, 13)	3 (1, 5)	0.19	0.29

Quartile analysis of Advanced Oxidation Protein Products (AOPP) for the main study variables: The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for quartile analysis (p-value), with the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test applied for comparisons between the first quartile (Q1) and the fourth quartile (Q4) (p-value2). Pearson's chi-squared (χ^2) test was used for the analysis of qualitative variables. Y denotes "Yes".

women), compared with those with normal HDL, AOPP was higher, 2878 ± 1244 versus 2551 ± 1131 ($p = 0.003$).

No significant difference in AOPP concentration was observed by the hyperglycemia status (2665 ± 1184 versus 2515 ± 1059).

Association of AOPP with renal involvement

The association between AOPP and renal involvement (albuminuria) was not significant. Thus, in people with albuminuria AOPP was 2650 ± 901 compared with 2659 ± 1198 in those without. However, when AOPP was categorized into quartiles, individuals in the upper quartile of AOPP had significantly higher albuminuria/creatinine ratio than those in the lowest AOPP quartile (Table 2).

People with hypofiltration ($<60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$) had AOPP 2887 ± 1426 , while those with hyperfiltration ($>130 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$) had 2278 ± 910 and in people with normal eGFR ($60\text{--}130 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$) it was 2656 ± 1168 . Furthermore, no significant differences in eGFR was noted between the AOPP extreme quartiles (Q1 and Q4). These data underscore the lack of significant association between AOPP and different stages of renal function in the prediabetic population.

Inflammatory biomarkers and clinical and metabolic characteristics

We analyzed two well-known inflammatory markers: CRP and IL-6. Table 3 depicts the data assessed by Q4 vs Q1 quartiles and the different clinical and metabolic characteristics.

People in the Q4 of CRP showed significant differences in several anthropometric variables such as age, weight, height, waist circumference, and BMI compared with those in the Q1. Elevated CRP was also associated with a higher diastolic blood pressure and various parameters associated with greater severity of insulin resistance (fasting insulin, Matsuda, HOMA-IR, or OGIS), markers of metabolic syndrome and hepatic steatosis (Table 3). Among renal parameters, only the presence of albuminuria was directly associated with CRP ($p = 0.014$).

Regarding IL-6 people in the Q4 displayed statistically significant differences with anthropometric variables such as weight, height, waist circumference, BMI and some metabolic variables compared with those in the Q1. Among renal parameters, IL-6 was associated with eGFR decline ($p = 0.017$), and a trend with albuminuria ($p = 0.075$).

No correlation was observed between inflammatory biomarkers and oxidative stress (CRP vs AOPP, $R^2 = 0.074$, $p = 0.09$; IL6 vs AOPP, $R^2 = 0.072$, $p = 0.322$).

Discussion

This work explored the potential of oxidative and inflammatory biomarkers to predict early renal injury and their association with metabolic abnormalities in well-characterized cohort of people with prediabetes. As in previous studies, an appreciable number (94/967) of the participants in the ePREDICE study displayed renal abnormalities manifested

as albuminuria, hyperfiltration, or kidney failure at the first examination.^{31,32}

One striking observation was that AOPP values were remarkably associated with a range of clinical and metabolic abnormalities in these people with prediabetes. These included high blood pressure, a variety of parameters indicative of suboptimal glycemic control, dyslipidemia, and the metabolic syndrome components either individually or in combination. In fact, oxidative stress has been associated to hypertension, poor glycemic control and dyslipidemia,^{33–38} supporting the hypothesis that increased levels of advanced glycation end products and pro-oxidants could contribute to a proinflammatory milieu facilitating renal injury. In addition, a recent study in >1.5 million participants has pointed out that 57% of women and 53% of men with incident cardiovascular disease presented several modifiable risk factors (BMI, systolic blood pressure, non-HDL, current smoking, and diabetes), as depicted in the present ePREDICE study.

However, despite the remarkable correlation between AOPP values and the different components of the metabolic syndrome and fatty liver, the association with renal involvement was less marked. Thus, although there was a significant association between elevated AOPP (Quartile 4 vs Quartile 1) and the presence of albuminuria, no differences with eGFR stages was observed. In contrast with our data in prediabetic individuals. It has been recently reported that AOPP were markers for advanced CKD stages 4–5, probably indicating the value of persistent elevated AOPP blood levels at long term.

The association between inflammation and T2D and its complications has been pointed out in both clinical and pre-clinical studies.²¹ Therefore, we also examined CRP and IL-6 blood levels to know their potential clinical value in the early detection of renal involvement. The association between CRP and IL-6 and renal involvement was less marked than in studies including people with T2D. In general, CRP was a better biomarker than IL-6 for most of the parameters studied. There was a significant association between CRP (Q4 vs Q1) and the presence of albuminuria, but not with renal function.

Similar to AOPP, the present data also highlight the importance of CRP (better than IL-6) as a useful biomarker of the metabolic dysregulation in people with prediabetes, and also potentially of incipient kidney damage (albuminuria), reinforcing the idea that a certain degree of inflammation and oxidative stress may also facilitate renal injury in individuals with prediabetes.

The reason why in our population AOPP was a reliable biomarker of oxidative stress, while other biomarkers of oxidative stress as MDA (data not shown) is unclear. Two major reasons could be envisioned: first, the limited stability of those compounds. Even though samples were properly shipped from different European countries and stored at -80° without breaking the cold chain, the average time from basal extraction to determination was almost 10 months, so it is likely that the radicals had a much shorter life under the collection conditions. The second reason might arise from the nature of AOPP, which represents the sum of all oxidative elements that target proteins with different lifespan in the bloodstream; thus albumin, the most abundant plasma protein, has a half-life of 20 days.³⁸ Therefore, AOPP could be

Table 3 – Comparison of several study variables between the quartile 1 and 4 for the inflammatory biomarkers IL-6 and CRP.

Characteristic	IL-6 Q1	IL-6 Q4	IL-6 p-value	CRP Q1	CRP Q4	CRP p-value
Sex (F)	28 (55%)	27 (48%)	0.49	90 (49%)	134 (69%)	<0.001
Age (yr)	59 (52, 64)	59 (52, 65)	0.54	60 (54, 66)	57 (51, 63)	0.008
Weight (kg) (M vs F)	93 (88, 194) 86 (80, 100)	86 (72, 94) 76 (67, 89)	0.11 0.031	86 (78, 96) 72 (65, 81)	91 (83, 103) 86 (76, 98)	0.008 <0.001
BMI	30.3 (26.4, 33.6)	32.1 (29.6, 36.5)	0.011	28.3 (25.6, 31.2)	32.9 (28.8, 37.0)	<0.001
Height (cm) (M vs F)	174 (171, 177) 160 (157, 168)	170 (165, 177) 158 (154, 161)	0.1 0.11	174 (168, 179) 159 (155, 165)	173 (170, 176) 160 (156, 166)	0.52 0.41
Waist circumference (cm) (M vs F)	110 (103, 116) 110 (100, 113)	102 (98, 113) 99 (94, 109)	0.075 0.066	103 (96, 110) 96 (88, 102)	108 (101, 117) 103 (95, 113)	0.003 <0.001
Hip circumference (cm) (M vs F)	108 (102, 112) 115 (104, 126)	106 (100, 111) 111 (105, 119)	0.4 0.42	104 (100, 110) 105 (199, 112)	108 (102, 116) 115 (106, 124)	0.016 <0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	133 (126, 142)	134 (122, 143)	0.69	133 (122, 142)	133 (121, 147)	0.8
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	84 (78, 90)	82 (75, 89)	0.51	81 (76, 88)	85 (77, 91)	0.031
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	115 (112, 122)	115 (112, 121)	0.88	115 (112, 122)	115 (112, 119)	0.44
2 h plasma glucose (mg/dL)	144 (128, 166)	160 (132, 172)	0.14	144 (121, 166)	142 (122, 162)	0.5
Fasting Insulin (UI)	11.0 (8.2, 15.6)	12.8 (9.3, 18.2)	0.12	10 (7, 14)	12 (9, 17)	<0.001
Matsuda Index	2.76 (1.95, 3.32)	2.43 (1.64, 3.26)	0.36	3.01 (2.11, 4.32)	2.50 (1.76, 3.37)	<0.001
HOMA IR	3.13 (2.32, 4.29)	3.54 (2.62, 5.34)	0.13	2.70 (1.86, 3.87)	3.49 (2.44, 4.86)	<0.001
Oral Glucose Insulin Sensitivity	330 (300, 350)	326 (297, 346)	0.43	339 (310, 363)	327 (298, 354)	0.021
Prediabetic criteria			0.39			0.42
IGT	20 (39%)	15 (27%)		54 (30%)	46 (24%)	
IFG	15 (29%)	19 (34%)		73 (40%)	87 (45%)	
IGT + IFG	16 (31%)	22 (39%)		56 (31%)	60 (31%)	
HbA1c	5.80 (5.60, 6.00)	5.90 (5.68, 6.20)	0.084	5.80 (5.50, 6.00)	5.89 (5.60, 6.10)	0.22
Mean eGFR MDRD4 (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	89 (78, 99)	79 (71, 92)	0.017	85 (75, 95)	86 (76, 95)	0.75
eGFR stages (MDRD-4) (mL/min/1.73 m ²)			0.053			0.35
G1	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.8%)		2 (1.1%)	7 (3.6%)	
G2	23 (44%)	18 (32%)		67 (37%)	69 (36%)	
G3a	28 (54%)	32 (56%)		106 (58%)	112 (58%)	
HF	0 (0%)	6 (11%)		8 (4.4%)	5 (2.6%)	
Urine albumin/creatinine ratio (mg/g)	3 (2, 6)	5 (2, 15)	0.075	2 (1, 5)	3 (1, 8)	0.014
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	202 (188, 218)	196 (171, 226)	0.39	201 (180, 225)	205 (176, 227)	0.81
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	129 (98, 191)	128 (85, 162)	0.47	115 (85, 148)	117 (87, 153)	0.47
HDL (mg/dL) (M vs F)	43 (41, 47) 52 (40, 60)	51 (42, 58) 51 (44, 58)	0.027 0.71	48 (42, 58) 58 (47, 66)	45 (39, 52) 52 (46, 61)	0.11 0.013
LDL (mg/dL)	122 (101, 140)	124 (96, 143)	0.93	125 (103, 145)	125 (104, 146)	0.61
Metabolic syndrome (Y/N)	32 (63%)	37 (66%)	0.72	94 (51%)	128 (66%)	0.003
Platelets	220 (193, 256)	227 (198, 272)	0.33	224 (198, 255)	241 (210, 293)	<0.001
ALT (SGPT)	25 (18, 30)	26 (20, 40)	0.12	22 (17, 29)	22 (17, 30)	0.45
AST (SGOT)	22 (6, 25)	22 (16, 29)	0.14	21 (17, 25)	19 (16, 25)	0.3
AST/ALT ratio	0.89 (0.25, 1.06)	0.80 (0.58, 0.96)	0.52	0.95 (0.71, 1.13)	0.89 (0.68, 1.05)	0.034
Gammaglutaryl transferase (GGT)	28 (18, 37)	28 (20, 44)	0.64	24 (17, 36)	26 (18, 38)	0.13
Fatty liver index	71 (41, 89)	84 (71, 94)	0.027	58 (35, 77)	79 (60, 93)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption (Y/N)	24 (47%)	33 (59%)	0.22	106 (58%)	119 (62%)	0.46
Smoke (Y/N)	25 (49%)	32 (57%)	0.4	82 (45%)	94 (49%)	0.45

The Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test was employed to assess differences between the first (Q1) and fourth (Q4) quartiles. For the analysis of qualitative variables, Pearson's Chi-square (χ^2) test was utilized. Y denotes Yes and N denotes No.

considered as an analog of HbA1c, in the sense that they reflect systemic exposure over a long period.

The cross-sectional nature of our analysis limits our ability to establish causality between elevated biomarker levels and the development of renal injury among people with pre-

diabetes. Additionally, the study mainly focused on European populations, which may hamper the extrapolation of our findings to other ethnicities and geographical locations.

Although further studies are needed to identify novel biomarkers in people with prediabetes, this area of research

holds a promising avenue for early renal damage detection and therapeutic interventions. By unveiling reliable and valuable markers of early renal damage, clinicians could potentially identify individuals at risk of developing early kidney injury, allowing for timely and targeted interventions that could delay or prevent the onset of T2D and its renal complications.

Conclusions

Biomarkers of oxidative stress and inflammatory present remarkable association with the complex metabolic abnormalities among people with prediabetes, and they may be early indicators of renal dysfunction. Although further studies are needed, both AOPP and CRP are reliable biomarkers of potential interest in the evaluation of the health status of people with prediabetes.

Limitations: The lack of CKD-EPI formula implementation (as the current standard for eGFR measurement) in all centers during ePREDICE's baseline data collection limits our ability to compare it with MDRD4; however, given the high correlation ($R=0.88$) where both formulas were available, we consider that the analysis performed remains valid.

Sources of support

This research has been founded by a Society of Nephrology (SEN) grant. The research group of J.E. and S.M.-F. are funded by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades: FIS/Fondos FEDER (PI20/00375, PI20/00487, PI23/00119, PI23/00669) and the Spanish Biomedical Research Center in diabetes and metabolic diseases (CIBERDEM). Programa Operativo FEDER Junta de Andalucía (1381179-R), Consejería de Salud y Familias-FEDER, Junta de Andalucía (PIGE-0052-2020). The Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation supported the salary of JAM (RYC-2017-22369) (Co-funded by European Regional Development Fund/European Social Fund “A way to make Europe”/“Investing in your future”). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix. e-PREDICE Consortium investigators

Steering Committee: Jaakko Tuomilehto, Rafael Gabriel, Jaana Lindström, Jesús Egido, Andrea Natali, José Carlos Pastor, Michael Brainin, Marcus Lind, Luis Silva, Peter Schwartz, Aleksandra Gilis-Januszewska. **Safety Committee:** Carmen Suárez Fernández: Hospital University La Princesa. Madrid, Spain. Beverly Balkau, INSERM Veifville. France. Matti Uusitupa, Institute of Public Health and Clinical Nutrition. University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland. Internal Ethic Committee: Julio Romero. Hospital University La Princesa, Madrid, Spain. Lars Ryden. Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden. External Scientific Advisory Board: Ralph DeFronzo,

University of Texas. San Antonio, Texas, USA. Manuel Serano Ríos. Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain. Michael Roden, German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD) Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany. John Nolan, European Diabetes Forum, European Association for the Study of Diabetes, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. Work-package (WP). WP1: coordinador. EVIDEM CONSULTORES Rafael Gabriel, Jaakko Tuomilehto, Nisa Boukitchou, Tamia Acosta, Ruy López-Ridaura, Luis Silva, Eliana del Águila, Ana Rosón. WP2. CLINICAL CENTRES: Spain. Primary Care centers of SERMAS (Servicio Madrileño de Salud), Madrid. Tomás Gómez-Gascón, Juan Carlos Abánades Herranz, María Esther Sánchez Carranza, Alicia Rodríguez Blanco, Fernando Villasante Claudio, Consuelo Ugarte Pérez, Belén Peláez Raposo, Beatriz Cáceres Sánchez, Sergio González Gasca; Margarita Herrero Delgado, Isabel García Del Río, Mª Lorena Rodríguez Pérez, Mª Carmen Reyes Madridejos, Mª Carmen Castillo López, Mª Jesús Paloma Huerga González, Ana Alayeto Sánchez, Carmen Pascual Díez, Esperanza Villar Coloma, Tirso Galiano Arroyo, Mª Olga Peña Peña, Mª Elena Pejenaute Labari, Mª Mercedes Rojo Tardón, Mª Teresa Recio García, María Campos López-Carrión, Sara Criado Jorge, Virginia García Campo, Almudena Pazos González, Aranzazu Pérez Medina, Ricardo Benito Fernández, Mercedes Ricote Belinchón, Mª Teresa Sánchez-Villares Rodríguez, Noelia Polo Fernández, Antonio Cabrera Majada, Eva María Revuelta Martínez, Itziar Vázquez Carrión, Mª Nuria Fernández De Cano Martín, Manuel Gutiérrez Cabanas, Soledad Fernández Saavedra, Yolanda Jiménez Aguilar, Mª Ángeles Rodríguez Loarce, Emilia Pedroche Morales, Teresa Nieto Monreal, María Begoña Hernández Olivares, Carmen Domínguez Encinas, Ana Martínez-Cabrera Peláez, Mª Inés Casas Jiménez, Pilar Pérez Egea, Concepción Espariz Campano, Ángeles Brieva García, Mª Azucena SaezBerlana, Carlos Casanova García, Mª Carmen Belinchón Moya, Mª Dolores Parejo Pablos, Elisa Varona Lahuerta, Esther Labrador Arranz, Mª Ángeles Conde Llorente, Mª Teresa Gómez Martínez, Milagros Velázquez García, Mª Patrocinio Verde González, Mª Rosario Campo Martínez, Mª Rosario Del Álamo Gutiérrez, Mª Victoria Cantera Urcía, Alejandra González Esteban, Laura Rodríguez Cortizo, Sabrina Sosa Alés, Eva Torres Cantero, Idoia Baillo Peña, Tamara García López, Cristina Calle Domínguez, Inmaculada Peña Sainz, Mª Antonia Minguito Lobos, Consuelo Viamonte Andrés, Francisco Manuel García García, José María Lobos Bejarano, Raquel Juez Pimienta, Emilia Villares Motino, Elvira Pérez Peñas, Silvia Jiménez, Laura Manuyama Pacaya, Carmen Morales Guevara, Carmen Melero González, Blanca Novella Arribas, Marta Cuevas, Belén Sierra García, Marta Ruiz, Amelia González Gamarra, Rosa Mª Sánchez Alcalde, Belén Peláez Raposo, Ángela Gallego Arenas, Mª Soledad Mayayo Vicente, Javier López González, Manuel Jovino Arango Victoria, Ana María Santos Caballero, Isabel Jimeno, Juana Iribertegui, Ramón María Salgado, María Olga Ortega de Santos, María Gema García, María José LLorénsBalducel, Juan Carlos de la Fuente, Claudia Fernández Illen, Beatriz Manrique Olmedo, Tati Arévalo Gallego, Juan Machuca Gómez, Esther San José Blázquez, Teresa Castellanos Ruiz, Macarena Espejo Saucedo, María Esther Fernández Yedra, Carmen Torres Martínez, Ángel Lindo Torres, Víctor Raúl Montes Pina, khosrowDadbinDadbin, Rosa ArdáMaillo, Inmaculada Parra Álvarez,

Justino Flores Ramos, M^a Dolores Vicente de Forondo, Antonio Calvo Cebrian, Yolanda Gines Díaz, Paloma Henares García, Luís La Puente Montoso, Aurora López Gil, M^a Concepción Marcello, Silvia Membrado Gómez, Nieves Puente García, Nuria Rodríguez Pata, Antonio Sánchez Calso, M^a Sánchez Casado, M^a Pilar Saladana Calzo, Carmen Velayos Rodríguez, Consuelo Velaz López, Miguel Ángel Venga Mendía, C. Susana Abad Guijarro, Gema Calderero Castellanos, Esperanza Corral Agüero, Ángeles Fernández Ortega, Carmen García Regidor, Edurne Hernández Sanzano, Pablo Martín Cano, M^a Del Carmen Martínez Coello, Sandrine Miguel Miguel, M^a Jesús Ramos Martín de Argenta, Beatriz Ruescas Aurrecoechea, Esteban González López, Elena Ramos Quirós, David Pérez Manchón, Leticia Pontón, Jon Koldo Sagardui Villamor, Mireia Rey Pérez de Pipaon, M^a Luisa Idarreta Zubiria, Juan Carlos Sánchez Ruiz, Ángela Rodríguez de Cossío, Milagros Merino Pella, Nuria Ruiz Hombrebueno, Rafael Llanes, Yolanda Vicente Prior, Mercedes Picó, Francisco Pérez Durán, Isabel Pérez Botella, Ángeles Cuevas, Francisco Martínez García, Raquel Cobeñas Mateo, María Teresa Rodríguez De Fonseca, Naldi Luz Cerdeña Ocola, Irene Alma Polanco García, María Esther Amez De Castro, Susana Barrios Espinoza, Antonio Guijarro Jiménez, Ana María De La Uz Pardos, Francisco Javier Cabrera Pérez, José Ignacio Torres Jiménez, Francisco Martínez García, María Isabel Vidal De La Riva, María Teresa Rodríguez De Fonseca, Gabriel Barderas Cuevas, Gonzalo Carrillo De Albornoz Martínez Pantoja, M^a Isabel García Romero. Castilla y León. Primary care centers of Ávila. Primary Care center of Arévalo: Saturio Vega Quiroga; Roberto Aldrich García, Carlos Cañas Ruesgas, Carmen Vian Baron, Josefina Fernández Fernández, M^a Antonia Jiménez Carabias, Laureano López Gay, M^a Pilar Marqués Macías, Almudena Cantalejo Martín, Ana Benito Pérez, Modesta Mulero San José, Vanesa Martín Hernández, Laura Sánchez Domínguez, Rosa M^a García Martín, Víctor Manuel Álvarez Zurdo. Primary Care center of Sotillo de la Adrada: David Álvarez Suárez, Carmen Lázaro del Nogal, Lourdes González López, M^a del Mar Varas Reviejo, Juan Luis Martín Clavo, M^a Isabel Blázquez Blanco, M^a Luisa Ramos González, Guadalupe Rinaldi Català, Montserrat López Ramírez, Vanesa Hernández Blázquez, Vanessa Gutiérrez León, Raquel Pérez Cruz, Josefina Fernández Fernández, Almudena Fernández García, Raquel Alonso Moralejo. Primary care centers of Segovia. Primary Care center of Carbonero El Mayor: María Soledad Fragua Gil, Virginia Silva Guisasola, Concepción Manrique de la Fuente, Ángeles Lazcoz Fontán, Héctor Aceves Gamarrá, Alba Marina Hernández López, M^a Jesús Blanco Ledesma, Alfonso Santos López, Cristina de la Cruz Maeso, M^a del Espíritu Santo Otero Herrero, Cristina Olmos Marinero, Patricia Redondo Arranz, Mónica Álvaro García. Primary Care center of Segovia III: Luis González López, María Ángeles Raquejo Grado, José Rodríguez Sanz, Juan Manuel de Andrés Rubio, Nuria González Acebes, Joaquina Galán Sánchez, Teresa López Fernández-Quesada, Almudena Sanz Prieto, Carmen Montero Morales, María Dolores Alba Jiménez, Beatriz Ayala Miranda. Castilla-La Mancha. Primary Care centers of CUENCA. Hospital General: Jaime Santiago Aranda Regules, Alba Caterina del Hoyo Herráiz, María Victoria Cantero Ayllón, María José Guillén Izquierdo, María Sandra Ruiz Mora, Ana Peña Cabia, Rosa Sánchez Amo, M^o Josefa Moya López. Cuenca I: Fructuoso Muelas Herráiz, M^o Ángeles

Molina Morate, Fernando Salcedo Aguilar. Cuenca II: Nieves Valero Caracena, Beatriz Ortega Noheda, M^o Carmen García González. Cuenca III: Cristina Martínez Martín, Miryam Pardo Villalvilla, M^a Eugenia García Castellanos, María Elena de las Heras Martínez. Primary Care center of Tarancón: Filomena del Saz Castellanos, Encarnación Palomares Cañada, María Concepción Fraile Jiménez, Pilar Palomar Moreno, Bárbara Martínez Garrido, María Pilar Orgaz Gallego, María José Tricio Armero, Cristina García del Pino Cañadas, Isabel Tierno Aparicio. Málaga. Fundación FIMABIS. Servicio Andaluz de Salud (SAS). Regional University Hospital of Málaga, Biomedical Research Institute of Málaga (IBIMA), University of Málaga (UMA), Málaga, Spain. Medicina Interna: Ricardo Gómez-Huelgas, María Dolores López-Carmona, Luis M Pérez-Belmonte, María Rosa Bernal-López, María Teresa Moyano Paris, Paula Moya Rodríguez, Antonio Vargas Candela, Alberto Vilches Pérez, María Isabel Ruiz Moreno, Maite Muñoz Melero, Pilar Gómez Martín. Oftalmología: Jacinto Villalvilla, Álvaro Santos, Antonio Archilla, Carlos Rocha, Silvia Lozano Ruiz. Primary care centers of Málaga. Alameda- Perchel: Francisco Javier Orellana Lozano, Manuel Guarino Nuño, Alhaurín de la Torre: Daniel Martín Castillo, María José Guerra Maldonado, José Rogelio Sánchez Ortiz. Alozaina-Yunquera: David Fernández Bonilla, J A Cortes, Juan Antonio Cordero Cabrera. Antequera: José Antonio Godínez, José Jesús Moreno Jiménez, David Paniagua Urbano. Archidona: Antonio Cansino Osuna, María Del Carmen Rojo Camacho, Celinda Lara Moreno, Ignacio Hinojosa Núñez, Almudena Puga González, Capuchinos: Yolanda Rey, Yolanda Rodríguez Gallego. Carranque: Carmen Aylón Moliner. Cartama-Estacion-Cartama pueblo-Pizarra: Francisco Jose Guirado Hidalgo, María Eva Ruiz Coronado, Susana Barea Díaz, Beatriz Navarro Aranda. Casarabonela: M Carmen Arroyo Martínez. Ciudad Jardín: Antonio Baca Osorio, José Mancera, Salvador Ruiz Vera, Idelfonso Martos Cerezuela. Delicias: Fernando López Verde, M^a Carmen Barba Cañete, Cristóbal Gómez Acevedo, Margarita Sánchez Pavón. La Luz: Antonio Oropeza Mesa, Antonio Rojas Barrilado. La Roca: Esther Martín Auriolés, Rocío Ramos, Francisco Javier Camino, María Eugenia Valdes, Dolores Bravo Fernández. Limonar: Silvia Hazañas, Amparo Vargas Machuca Benítez, Eva María Taboada Ríos. Puerta Blanca: Antonio Hormigo Pozo, Idelfonsa Martínez Zaragoza. Rincón de la Victoria: Milagrosa Espinar Toledo, María Del Rosario Rosillo Rein, Gloria Inmaculada Mestre Reoyo, María Auxiliadora Naranjo Sánchez, José Ángel Sánchez Ortiz, M^a José González Vega, José Carlos Pérez Sánchez, Antonia Cabra Navarro, Antonio Vivas Molina. San Andrés-El torcal: Antonio Ramírez Ceballos, Francisco Ruiz Solares. Tiro Pichón: Juan José Bedoya Belmonte, Germán Ortega Núñez, María Encarnación Bueno Caro. Trinidad-Jesús cautivo: Santos Agreda. Victoria: María José Bujalance Zafra, Montse Román Cereto, Rafael Ángel Maqueda. Poland. Uniwersytet Jagiellonski, Collegium Medicum, Poland: Aleksandra Gilis-Januszewska, Alicja Hubalewska-Dydejczyk, Beata Piwońska-Solska, Justyna Biegańska, Katarzyna Cybulska, Bernadeta Marczykiewicz, Magdalena Duraczyńska, Anna Cybulska, Joanna Stankiewicz-Góra, Alina Mruk, Grzegorz Młyński, Lucyna Rozpondek, Michał Sroka, Maciej Gilis-Januszewski, Edyta Sacha, Adela Justynska, Magdalena Szopa, Bartłomiej Matejko. Greece. National and Kapodistrian University of Athens. Greece: Konstantinos Makrilakis,

Stavros Liatis, Evangelia Siami, Chryssoula Stathi, Katherine Barmpagianni, Maria Nikoloudi, Meropi Kontogianni, Ioanna Kechrimpari, Aphroditi Tsiakou, Melina Karaolia. Alexandra Hospital. University of Athens. Greece: Asimina-Mitrakou, Georgios Panagopoulos, Paraskevi Kontou, Petros Thomakos, Georgios Giagkou, Evangelia Avgeraki, Eirini Malamaki. Bulgaria. University Multi-Profile Hospital for Active Treatment Alexandrovska EAD. Sofia, Bulgaria: Zdravko Asenov Kamenov, Antoaneta Trifonova Gateva, Yavor Sashov Assyov, Tsvetan Vladimirov Gatev, Vera Nacheva Karamfilova, Iveta Slavyanova Nedeva. Austria. Gemeinnützige Salzburger Landeskliniken Betriebsgesellschaft. Salzburg, Austria: Bernhard Paulweber, Ludmilla Kedenko, Andrea Undeutsch. Turkey. Istanbul University Istanbul. Turkey: İlhan Satman, B. Fulya Turker, Ayse K. Uzum, Sakin Tekin, Ramazan Çakmak, Elif T. Bagdemir, Selda G. Celik, Cemile C. Idiz, Halime C. Sackoparan, Zafer Cebeci, Nur Kir, Dilara Karsidag, Yildiz Tutuncu, Aslihan Demirbas, Busra Yildiz. Serbia. Medical System Beograd-MSB. Belgrade, Serbia. Predrag Djordjevic, Margarita Dodevska, Nevenka Raketic, Aleksandar Stamenkovic, Marko Jovic, Fadil Canovic, Ljiljana Milivojevic, Kristina Savic, Ljiljana Savic, Mirjana Sarkic. Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade. Serbia. Nebojsa Lalic, Katerina Lalic, Aleksandra Jotic, Jelena Stanarcic, Ljiljana Lukic, Tanja Milicic, Natasa Rajkovic, Marija Macesic, Dijana Risimic, Mladen Bila. Australia. The University of Sydney. Australia: Stephen Colagiuri, Anthony Keech, Kristine Maddock, Andrzej S. Januszewski, Liping Lee, Tegan Picone, Emma Sainsbury, Alison Coenen, Chelsea Hendy, Namson Lau, Tania Markovic, Erica Bessell, Nick Fuller. Kuwait. Dasman Diabetes Research Institute: Jaakko Tuomilehto, Abdullah Alkandari, Abdullah Bennakhi, Monira Alarouj, Mohammad Jalali, Medinella Fernandez, Makka Ali Osman, Jincy Raj, Ala'a Al-Obaid, Hyatt Alsayegh, Najeeba Almatrouk. WP3. Lifestyle Intervention. Tervyden ja Hyvinvoinnin Laitos. Finland: Jaana Lindström, Päivi Valve, Katri Hemio, Katja Wikström, Esko Levälahti, Pirjo Saastamoinen. WP4. Central laboratories and Biobank. Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de la Fundación Jiménez Díaz. Madrid, Spain (Central Laboratory, Biobank and Inflammatory biomarkers): Jesús Egido, Sebastián Mas, Sandra Zazo, Esther Civantos, Rosario de Nicolás, Federico Rojo. Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche. Pisa, Italy. (NAFLD laboratory): Amalia Gastaldelli, Fabrizia Carli, Emma Buzzigoli, Melania Gaggini. Fundació Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Institut de Recerca. Barcelona, Spain (Retinal biomarkers): Rafael Simó, Cristina Hernández, Marta García-Ramírez. Queen Mary University of London. UK (Genetic laboratory): Graham A Hitman. WP5. Microvascular assessment coordination. Università di Pisa, Italy. (Endotelial assessment): Andrea Natali, Lucrecia Motta. WP6. Retinal Assessment. Instituto de Oftalmobiología Aplicada (IOBA) Universidad de Valladolid, Spain. (Central Retinal Reading Center): Maribel López, José Carlos Pastor, Lucía Manzanas, Ignacio Alonso, Verónica Velasco, Laura Mena. e-DIAGNOSTIC Oftalmología. Madrid, Spain. (Retinal e-platform): Diana Bravo, Víctor González Rumayor, Marica D'Angelo, Álex Manau. WP7. Neuropsychological assessment. Department for Clinical Neurosciences and Preventive Medicine, Danube University Krems. Krems, Austria: Michael Brainin, Yvonne Teuschl, Alexandra Dachenhausen, Karl Matz. Instituto de

Investigación y Asistencia Psiquiátrica (IAP). Madrid, Spain: Laura Ferrando Bundó. Oivauni Oy. Kuopio, Finland: Henri Tuomilehto, Seppo Silvennoinen. WP8. Statistical analysis. Vastra Gotalands Lans Landsting. Gotenburg, Sweden (Statistical Analysis coordinator): Marcus Lind, Aldina Pivodic, Hans Wedel. Institute of Neuroscience, National Research Council (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche). Pavoda, Italy. (Insulin and C-Peptide modeling): Andrea Mari, Andrea Tura. University of Helsinki. Department of Public Health: Pekka Jousilahti. WP9. Technology Assessment. IMPETO Medical. Paris, France (Sudoscan assessment): Jean-Henri Calvet, Gaelle Lerise, Alice Vilier. Mezen Bouzaïen. AARDEX Group SA. Geneva. Switzerland (MEMs drug's adherence monitoring): Bernard Vrijens, Rodrigo Paiva, Eric Tousset. WP10. Dissemination and communication: Federation Internationale du Diabète Region Europe Lala Rabemananjara.

REFERENCES

- Wagner R, Heni M, Tabák AG, Machann J, Schick F, Randrianarisoa E, et al. Pathophysiology-based subphenotyping of individuals at elevated risk for type 2 diabetes. *Nat Med.* 2021;27:49–57.
- World Health Organization, International Diabetes Federation. Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia: report of a WHO/IDF consultation. 2006. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/43588> [cited 2.2.24].
- Stein HC, Santaguida P, Raina P, Morrison KM, Balion C, Hunt D, et al. Annual incidence and relative risk of diabetes in people with various categories of dysglycemia: a systematic overview and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2007;78:305–12.
- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. 10-Year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet* 2009;374:1677–86.
- Stefan N, Fritsche A, Schick F, Häring HU. Phenotypes of prediabetes and stratification of cardiometabolic risk. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2016;4:789–98.
- Kleinherenbrink W, Osei E, den Hertog HM, Zandbergen AaM. Prediabetes and macrovascular disease: review of the association, influence on outcome and effect of treatment. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2018;55:6–11.
- Barr ELM, Zimmet PZ, Welborn TA, Jolley D, Magliano DJ, Dunstan DW, et al. Risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in individuals with diabetes mellitus, impaired fasting glucose, and impaired glucose tolerance: the Australian Diabetes, Obesity, and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab). *Circulation.* 2007;116:151–7.
- Sørensen BM, Houben AJHM, Berendschot TTJM, Schouten JSAG, Kroon AA, van der Kallen CJH, et al. Prediabetes and type 2 diabetes are associated with generalized microvascular dysfunction: the Maastricht study. *Circulation.* 2016;134:1339–52.
- Vas PRJ, Alberti KG, Edmonds ME. Prediabetes: moving away from a glucocentric definition. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;5:848–9.
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009;150:604–12.
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009;20:629–38.

- Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. Ann Intern Med. 1999;130:461–70.
12. Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Narayan KM, Weisman D, Golden SH, Jaar BG. Association between prediabetes and risk of chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabet Med. 2016;33:1615–24.
 13. Kim GS, Oh HH, Kim SH, Kim BO, Byun YS. Association between prediabetes (defined by HbA1C, fasting plasma glucose, and impaired glucose tolerance) and the development of chronic kidney disease: a 9-year prospective cohort study. BMC Nephrol. 2019;20:130.
 14. Mutie PM, Pomares-Millan H, Atabaki-Pasdar N, Jordan N, Adams R, Daly NL, et al. An investigation of causal relationships between prediabetes and vascular complications. Nat Commun. 2020;11:4592.
 15. Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimäki M. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for diabetes development. Lancet. 2012;379:2279–90.
 16. Thipsawat S. Early detection of diabetic nephropathy in patient with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a review of the literature. Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2021;18, 14791641211058856.
 17. Laakso M. Biomarkers for type 2 diabetes. Mol Metab. 2019;27S Suppl.:S139–46.
 18. Thorand B, Baumert J, Kolb H, Meisinger C, Chambless L, Koenig W, et al. Sex differences in the prediction of type 2 diabetes by inflammatory markers: results from the MONICA/KORA Augsburg case-cohort study, 1984–2002. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:854–60.
 19. Pan A, Wang Y, Yuan JM, Koh WP. High-sensitive C-reactive protein and risk of incident type 2 diabetes: a case-control study nested within the Singapore Chinese Health Study. BMC Endocr Disord. 2017;17:8.
 20. Piconi L, Quagliaro L, Ceriello A. Oxidative stress in diabetes. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2003;41:1144–9.
 21. Tiwari BK, Pandey KB, Abidi AB, Rizvi SI. Markers of oxidative stress during diabetes mellitus. J Biomark. 2013;2013:378790.
 22. Luc K, Schramm-Luc A, Guzik TJ, Mikolajczyk TP. Oxidative stress and inflammatory markers in prediabetes and diabetes. J Physiol Pharmacol. 2019;70.
 23. Selmeci L, Seres L, Antal M, Lukács J, Regöly-Mérei A, Acsády G. Advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP) for monitoring oxidative stress in critically ill patients: a simple, fast and inexpensive automated technique. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2005;43:294–7.
 24. Vinereanu IV, Peride I, Niculae A, Tiron AT, Caragheorgheopol A, Manda D, et al. The relationship between advanced oxidation protein products, vascular calcifications and arterial stiffness in predialysis chronic kidney disease patients. Med Kaunas Lith. 2021;57:452.
 25. Gabriel R, Abdelkader NB, Acosta T, Gilis-Januszewska A, Gómez-Huelgas R, Makriliais K, et al. Early prevention of diabetes microvascular complications in people with hyperglycaemia in Europe. ePREDICE randomized trial. Study protocol, recruitment and selected baseline data. PLOS ONE. 2020;15:e0231196.
 26. Stevens PE, Levin A, Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Chronic Kidney Disease Guideline Development Work Group Members. Evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease: synopsis of the kidney disease: improving global outcomes 2012 clinical practice guideline. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158:825–30.
 27. Gorostidi M, Santamaría R, Alcázar R, Fernández-Fresnedo G, Galcerán JM, Goicoechea M, et al. Spanish Society of Nephrology document on KDIGO guidelines for the assessment and treatment of chronic kidney disease. Nefrologia. 2014;34:302–16.
 28. Selmeci L. Advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP): novel uremic toxins, or components of the non-enzymatic antioxidant system of the plasma proteome? Free Radic Res. 2011;45:1115–23.
 29. Ayala A, Muñoz MF, Argüelles S. Lipid peroxidation: production, metabolism, and signaling mechanisms of malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2014;2014:360438.
 30. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. Circulation. 2002;106:3143–421.
 31. Markus MRP, Ittermann T, Baumeister SE, Huth C, Thorand B, Herder C, et al. Prediabetes is associated with microalbuminuria, reduced kidney function and chronic kidney disease in the general population: the KORA (Cooperative Health Research in the Augsburg Region) F4-Study. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2018;28:234–42.
 32. Chen L, Wu L, Li Q, Ma H, Liu T, Li J, et al. Lower urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio predicted all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in Chinese population with diabetes and prediabetes – the Shanghai Changfeng cohort study. J Diabetes. 2024;16:e13497.
 33. Mennuni S, Rubattu S, Pierelli G, Tocci G, Fofi C, Volpe M. Hypertension and kidneys: unraveling complex molecular mechanisms underlying hypertensive renal damage. J Hum Hypertens. 2014;28:74–9.
 34. Vaziri ND. Roles of oxidative stress and antioxidant therapy in chronic kidney disease and hypertension. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2004;13:93–9.
 35. Saijo Y, Utsugi M, Yoshioka E, Horikawa N, Sato T, Gong YY, et al. Relationships of C-reactive protein, uric acid, and glomerular filtration rate to arterial stiffness in Japanese subjects. J Hum Hypertens. 2005;19:907–13.
 36. Tonelli M, Sacks F, Pfeffer M, Jhangri GS, Curhan G, Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) Trial Investigators. Biomarkers of inflammation and progression of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int. 2005;68:237–45.
 37. Andrade-Sierra J, Pazarín-Villaseñor L, Yanowsky-Escatell FG, Díaz-de la Cruz EN, García-Sánchez A, Cardona-Muñoz EG, et al. The influence of the severity of early chronic kidney disease on oxidative stress in patients with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:11196.
 38. Azzazy MHE, Christenson RH. All about albumin: biochemistry, genetics, and medical applications. Theodore Peters, Jr. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 1996, 432 pp, \$85.00. ISBN 0-12-552110-3. Clin Chem. 1997;43, 2014a–2015.